



## Review

## Forebrain networks and the control of feeding by environmental learned cues



Gorica D. Petrovich\*

Department of Psychology, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, United States

## HIGHLIGHTS

- Food cues stimulate feeding in sated states (cue induced feeding model).
- Cue feeding circuitry: amygdala–prefrontal cortex–hippocampus–lateral hypothalamus
- Fear cues inhibit feeding in hungry states (fear induced anorexia model).
- Fear cue-induced feeding cessation depends on the central nucleus of the amygdala.

## ARTICLE INFO

Article history:  
Received 18 February 2013  
Accepted 6 March 2013

## Keywords:

Animal models  
Amygdala  
Anorexia  
Anxiety  
Conditioning  
Eating disorders  
Fear  
Feeding  
Ghrelin  
Hippocampus  
Hypothalamus  
Learning  
Memory  
Motivation  
Obesity  
Orexin  
Prefrontal cortex

## ABSTRACT

The motivation to eat is driven by a complex sum of physiological and non-physiological influences computed by the brain. Physiological signals that inform the brain about energy and nutrient needs are the primary drivers, but environmental signals unrelated to energy balance also control appetite and eating. The two components could act in concert to support the homeostatic regulation of food intake. Often, however, environmental influences rival physiological control and stimulate eating irrespective of satiety, or inhibit eating irrespective of hunger. If persistent, such maladaptive challenges to the physiological system could lead to dysregulated eating and ultimately to eating disorders. Nevertheless, the brain mechanisms underlying environmental contribution in the control of food intake are poorly understood. This paper provides an overview in recent advances in deciphering the critical brain systems using rodent models for environmental control by learned cues. These models use associative learning to compete with the physiological control, and in one preparation food cues stimulate a meal despite satiety, while in another preparation fear cues stop a meal despite hunger. Thus far, four forebrain regions have been identified as part of the essential cue induced feeding circuitry. These are telencephalic areas critical for associative learning, memory encoding, and decision making, the amygdala, hippocampus and prefrontal cortex and the lateral hypothalamus, which functions to integrate feeding, reward, and motivation. This circuitry also engages two orexigenic peptides, ghrelin and orexin. A parallel amygdalar circuitry supports fear cue cessation of feeding. These findings illuminate the brain mechanisms underlying environmental control of food intake and might be also relevant to aspects of human appetite and maladaptive overeating and undereating.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1.	Introduction . . . . .	11
2.	The control of feeding by environmental learned cues . . . . .	11
2.1.	Cue induced feeding . . . . .	11
2.1.1.	Food cues drive selective appetite for the signaled food, not basic hunger . . . . .	12
2.1.2.	Food cues stimulate persistent eating . . . . .	12
2.2.	Cue induced inhibition of feeding . . . . .	12
2.3.	Sex differences in the control of feeding by environmental learned cues . . . . .	13
2.3.1.	Sex differences in fear cue inhibition of feeding . . . . .	13

\* Department of Psychology, Boston College, 140 Commonwealth Avenue, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467-3807, United States. Tel.: +1 617 552 0416; fax: +1 617 552 0523.  
E-mail address: [gorica.petrovich@bc.edu](mailto:gorica.petrovich@bc.edu).

3.	Forebrain networks and the control of feeding by environmental learned cues . . . . .	13
3.1.	The forebrain circuitry for cue induced feeding . . . . .	13
3.1.1.	Food cue integration with the lateral hypothalamic orexigenic peptides . . . . .	14
3.1.2.	Cue induced feeding requires ghrelin signaling . . . . .	14
3.1.3.	Amygdalo-prefrontal-lateral hypothalamic circuitry . . . . .	15
3.2.	The forebrain substrates for cue driven inhibition of feeding . . . . .	15
3.3.	The brain substrates underlying sex differences in the control of feeding by learned cues . . . . .	15
4.	Concluding remarks . . . . .	15
	Acknowledgments . . . . .	16
	References . . . . .	16

## 1. Introduction

Hunger drives appetite and eating, while satiety halts them. But, we also eat when not hungry and we cease eating when not sated. That is, the motivation to eat is not only controlled by the basic energy and nutrient needs, but also by other factors that are not directly related to energy homeostasis. Food consumption is regulated by internal, physiological signals from the body (e.g., deficit signals, hormones, circadian rhythm), as well as by external, environmental signals (i.e., sensory, reward, experiential, cognitive, emotional, social) [1–10]. Both physiological and environmental drivers therefore influence how much is consumed in a meal, and which one prevails ultimately depends on their strengths and the state and susceptibility (genetic background and prior experience) of the individual. Nevertheless, the stronger the non-physiological influences are, the harder it is for the homeostatic regulatory system to maintain constancy.

In the contemporary, developed world the environmental contribution to the control of food intake is becoming increasingly more powerful, and as such has an impact on eating dysregulation. Environmental influences are believed to promote overeating and susceptibility to weight gain at least in some individuals, and as such ultimately contribute to the rise in obesity in Western and other developed countries [3,5,11–14]. Environmental and social factors also enhance vulnerability to anorexia nervosa and other eating disorders [15–18]. Deciphering how the contemporary environment (and lifestyle) challenges our physiological systems and why fewer and fewer of us remain resilient to such challenges, are among the most difficult questions puzzling diverse fields of inquiry—from physiology, medicine and neuroscience, social and clinical psychology, to economy and public health and policy.

One of the fundamental questions is how the environmental influences are integrated with the physiological regulatory control and the brain mechanisms that orchestrate it. The focus of this review is on recent advancements in our understanding of the critical neural networks that support one form of non-physiological control of food intake—the control of feeding by environmental learned cues. Learned cues are initially arbitrary cues from the environment that gain the ability to control feeding through associations with rewarding or punishing events. Food predictive cues can stimulate eating despite satiety, while fear cues can inhibit eating despite hunger.

These preparations have therefore provided a framework for analyses of the brain mechanisms underlying the motivation to eat controlled not by hunger, but by environmental cues. The focus has been on the forebrain networks and this paper provides an overview of the findings that the amygdala, prefrontal cortex, hippocampus and lateral hypothalamus form a system that is an essential, minimal circuitry.

Rats have typically been used in these studies because the rat is an established model for mammalian brain structure and function [19], and the behaviors of interest are conserved across mammalian species and highly relevant to humans. Notably, recent work has extended these preparations to mice [20–22], which provides a promising avenue for future work with genetic mice models in identifying the critical molecular substrates. The findings from rodent studies are therefore

relevant to our understanding of the fundamental principles of mammalian brain function in regulation of feeding behavior, as well as to understanding aspects of human eating and dysregulation.

## 2. The control of feeding by environmental learned cues

Towards a long-term aim to decipher the brain mechanisms underlying environmental (non-physiological) motivation to eat, we and others have been designing behavioral preparations suitable for brain imaging and manipulations. The basis for these paradigms is associative learning. Through Pavlovian conditioning procedures rats are trained to associate an initially neutral cue from the environment with a biologically significant event (i.e., an event that is rewarding or aversive). Then, these learned, predictive cues are used to induce motivational states that oppose the existing physiological drive.

In one set of preparations rats are repeatedly presented with a cue (tone or contextual conditioned stimulus, CS) immediately prior to food delivery (unconditioned stimulus, US). Through these associations the CS becomes a signal for the food US, and as such gains the capability to stimulate feeding even in sated states. In another set of preparations rats are repeatedly presented with a CS immediately prior to delivery of mild, electric foot shocks (US). Through these associations the CS becomes a signal for the shocks (US) and as such a “fear cue”, which inhibits feeding even in hungry states.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to review other aspects of Pavlovian appetitive conditioning, or studies in which associative learning supports instrumental actions towards food (for recent review on these topics see Holland & Petrovich [89]). That includes important work on formation of preferences or aversions to foods and their cues based on taste and post-ingestive effects (for reviews see [23–26]). Similarly notable is the work on reward processes and hedonic aspects of food consumption, including formation of habits through associative processes (e.g., [27–29]).

### 2.1. Cue induced feeding

The cue induced feeding phenomenon was originally shown about three decades ago, first in rats and then in humans [30–32] (for recent reviews see [33,34]). In these preparations, a cue that signals food can stimulate eating despite satiety based on its prior association with food consumption when an organism was hungry. Discrete cues (e.g., tone, light) have been typically used in these preparations, however recent studies have demonstrated that the environment in which food is consumed (feeding context) can also stimulate subsequent intake in sated rats on its own (i.e., without any discrete cues) [35,36]. Through a few pairings with food consumption the feeding environment was shown to acquire the ability to later motivate eating, and it did so in a highly selective manner (see Section 2.1.1) [35,36]. Thus, both discrete and contextual cues associated with prior food consumption could later modulate intake demonstrating that cue induced feeding is not bound to the type of stimuli used, but rather it is a fundamental result of learning. These findings are in agreement with a study in mice [20] and a study

that used female rats [37]. These results also contribute to substantial literature on the role of context in associative learning and to prior findings on the effects of ambience on food intake (for reviews see [9,38,39]).

The finding that a feeding environment could motivate intake independent of physiological hunger was hypothesized to have important implications to human eating [35]. The environment in which we consume food has been changing substantially over the last few decades, and now we consume a much larger portion of our daily intake outside home and often in distinct settings including 'fast food' restaurants [40]. In particular, 'fast food' and other 'chain' restaurants provide good opportunities for specific food-context associations because they typically offer limited menu choices and they are easily recognized and uniform across different locations. Based on the findings from the animal model, it was hypothesized that such food-context associations would enable those places to later stimulate appetite and consumption independent of physiological hunger. Nevertheless, future studies are needed to investigate how cues associated with such feeding environments might contribute to food choices and consumption in humans.

### 2.1.1. Food cues drive selective appetite for the signaled food, not basic hunger

Many features of eating driven by learned food cues suggest that the induced state is unlike a general state of hunger. Food cues stimulate consumption of the signaled food, but not consumption of other foods. The drive in these circumstances is therefore selective and specific and as such similar to induction of appetite, or even craving, rather than induction of a more general state of hunger. This was originally observed by Weingarten [41] and more recently shown in two studies that used the feeding context as a CS. The conditioning context enhanced rats' consumption of the training food, but it did not change their consumption of other familiar or novel foods [35,42]. Later studies replicated and extended these results using preparations with multiple CSs and USs [43,44]. In those studies, rats that were conditioned to associate two distinct cues with two different foods showed selective consumption of the cued food; that is, at testing each cue stimulated consumption of only the food it was previously associated with [43,44].

Studies with humans also showed induction of selective appetites and consumption when subjects were primed with food cues prior to a meal. In those studies subjects reported increased appetite and craving for the cued food, which was then followed by increased consumption of that food [45,46]. In agreement, a recent study also showed selective enhancement of the consumption of the training food pellets, but not the consumption of the other available food option in rats primed with the cue for the pellets [47]. Nevertheless, in some settings, if the signaled food is absent, rats could be stimulated by learned cues to eat the food that is provide to them [37].

In addition to the food selectivity, another feature of eating under the learned cue in rats suggests that the underlying mechanism might be somewhat similar to cue induced cravings in humans, with an obvious caveat that cravings are difficult to define in animals [48]. Cue elicited cravings are associated with binge-eating in humans, and similarly in rats food cues have been shown to stimulate consumption of large amounts of food in a short period of time, in a binge-like manner [35–37].

### 2.1.2. Food cues stimulate persistent eating

Food cues enable an organism to consume a large meal when not hungry, but how long such appetites might last is unclear. Also unclear is whether the consumption stimulated by food cues in the absence of hunger is appropriately compensated for in later meals. A recent study began to characterize potential long-term effects of food cues on feeding, and examined the extent of the effect during 4-hour long tests and its persistence through repeated testing [47]. In that study rats were conditioned to associate a tone with food

pellets distinct from their regular chow, and then were tested along with controls for food consumption following tone presentations. The study found that after an initial rapid and substantial consumption conditioned rats stimulated with the tone (food cue) continued to eat large amounts of food pellets (comparable to the amounts consumed by the controls) for the duration of the tests. The high intake persisted in four tests that occurred over two weeks.

Nevertheless, there were no differences in body weights between the conditioned and control groups, likely due to the intermittent testing schedule. These observations are consistent with the findings from other rat models of binge eating that found stable body weights with periodic versus chronic regimens of palatable food intake [49,50]. Thus, chronic stimulation with food cues might provide a setting for potential weight gain over time.

In that regard, the study above that tested rats during long tests, also measured daily intake post-tests and found no evidence of a compensatory decrease in eating [47]. The chow consumed during a 24 h period subsequent to the tests was similar between the conditioned and control groups of rats. Therefore, it was hypothesized that if not compensated for metabolically, consumption gains driven by the food cue could result in a caloric surplus. These would be small gains, which nevertheless could accumulate over time if uncompensated for. These speculations are in agreement with prior observations that small fluctuations in intake are not accounted for properly by the homeostatic system, and as such could compound to weight gain over time [12]. Nevertheless, whether repeated food cue stimulated consumption would remain undetected over long periods sufficient to result in weight gain remains to be determined.

## 2.2. Cue induced inhibition of feeding

Cues that predict danger (fear cues) inhibit feeding and other ongoing behaviors as part of a coordinated preparatory defensive response to the signaled threat. Among often-studied fear cue triggered behaviors in rodents, are the expression of freezing behavior and an enhancement of the acoustic startle reflex [51–53]. Conditioned aversive cues also suppress ongoing appetitive and consummatory feeding behaviors, including food approach and instrumental actions towards food, as well as suppression of licking (e.g., [54–59]). In contrast, the effects of fear cues on the consumption of food, other than suppression of sucrose solution licking, have been largely unexplored since the classic studies of Cannon [60] and later work of Schachter [61].

Recently, we began developing behavioral preparations to study the brain substrates underlying fear cue effects on food consumption. These preparations complement cue induced feeding work and together aid in our pursuit of the brain mechanisms underlying environmental (non-physiological) control of food intake. These paradigms are based on well-known fear conditioning protocols (e.g., [53,62–64]). In fear conditioning preparations, a discrete cue (typically tone, or light) or a contextual stimulus (CS) is paired with an aversive event (typically an electric footshock; US). After conditioning the CS alone produces fear-related behavioral responses (e.g., freezing behavior, startle reflex enhancement). Our interest is in the control of feeding, and we use these cues to modulate food intake.

Using these preparations we have shown in two different studies that a tone cue that signals mild electric footshocks effectively inhibits eating in hungry rats (food-deprived prior to testing) [65,66]. Recently, we have shown that the training environment in which the shocks are administered (conditioning context), can alone (i.e., in the absence of any discrete cues) serve as a CS to inhibit feeding in food-deprived rats [67]. Thus, such fear cues induce a competing motivational state that overrides the physiological drive to eat. The brain circuitry that supports the competition of fear and hunger motivational states is currently under investigation in our laboratory (see Section 3.2).

As mentioned above, part of the species-typical fear response in rodents is the expression of freezing behavior, which is characterized as a complete immobility except for the movement required for breathing [51,52]. Importantly, we have shown that cessation of feeding is not mediated by freezing behavior, even though both are triggered by the same fear cue. That is, the cessation of feeding is not simply a consequence of rats' inability to get to the food due to immobility.

In two studies we prevented the expression of conditioned freezing and showed that even though rats were not freezing in response to the CS, and therefore were capable of approaching the food, they still inhibited eating. In one study conditioned freezing was eliminated by lesions of the ventrolateral region of the periaqueductal gray [68], and in the other by lesions of the basolateral amygdala [65]. In another recent study dissociation of freezing and inhibition of feeding induced by the same CS was also observed. Female rats continued to inhibit feeding during tests with tone (CS) presentations even after they have extinguished freezing behavior induced by the same CS [66] (also see Section 2.3.1).

### 2.3. Sex differences in the control of feeding by environmental learned cues

Women are more susceptible to eating disorders, and other psychiatric illnesses than men, and yet, female subjects are greatly underrepresented in basic research and in clinical trials [69–71]. Consequently there is an urgent need to study female subjects and to examine the differences between the sexes in relevant behavioral preparations. A recent discovery of a pronounced sex difference in the fear cue inhibition of feeding preparation (Section 2.3.1) prompted us to begin to systematically compare male and female rats in various tasks with associative learning and feeding (e.g., [67,72]).

Behavioral characterization of intact, adult female and male rats is a necessary step towards future work that will examine the underlying brain substrates and the role of circulating sex hormones. This work aims to complement other research areas that study sex differences in cognitive and behavioral tasks relevant to associative learning, energy homeostasis, reward motivated behaviors, stress, and anxiety (for reviews, see [73–78]).

#### 2.3.1. Sex differences in fear cue inhibition of feeding

Our recent study examined learning and feeding behavior of intact, adult male and female rats in the fear cue induced inhibition of feeding preparation (see Section 2.2) and found sex differences [66]. In that study, males and females learned similarly well the association between a tone and foot shocks, as determined by freezing behavior during testing. The extent of food intake inhibition by the tone (fear cue) was also similar for the two sexes during the first test. A pronounced sex difference emerged during extinction, when female rats showed sustained inhibition of feeding compared to males [66]. That is, male rats extinguished inhibition of food intake much more rapidly than females. During the second test, male rats in the experimental group ate the same high amounts of food as the controls, while it took females in the conditioned group two additional tests to reach the consumption levels similar to that of the controls.

These findings provide a novel framework for investigation of sex differences in the control of feeding and the underlying brain substrates. Ongoing studies in our laboratory are examining the critical brain substrates underlying these sex differences (see Section 3.3). This animal model may also be informative about aspects of eating dysregulation in humans. Particularly, the potential contribution of fear in the maintenance of low food intake in anorexia nervosa was hypothesized [66].

Anorexia nervosa (anorexia) is a complex eating disorder that is characterized by relentless maintenance of extremely low body weight through restricted eating, often combined with excessive exercise and purging [16–18,79]. The ability to maintain restricted eating in emaciated states is paradoxical, and occurs even with

increased physiological hunger signals [18,80]. We have hypothesized that sustained fear might facilitate the maintenance of restricted eating in anorexia [66]. Indeed, obsessive fear of weight gain despite being underweight is a core symptom of anorexia [79]. Furthermore, anorexia shows high co-morbidity with anxiety disorders (reviewed in [18]), and dysfunction in fear network regions (amygdala and prefrontal cortex) has been found in recent imaging studies with anorexia nervosa patients [81–84].

Anorexia afflicts women disproportionately more than men, and elucidating the role of fear might also be relevant to the mechanisms underlying enhanced susceptibility of the female sex. The findings from the animal model for sustained cessation of feeding in females suggest a biological vulnerability that would be manifested under threat. It is intriguing to speculate that our environment provides a maladaptive setting in that regard. Our world is saturated with alarming and fearful news and messages, as well as many other cues that might be fearful specifically to the anorexia population. Indeed, excessive images of idealized female bodies and relentless food advertisements, both of which are perceived as threatening by anorexia population are notoriously abundant in Western societies.

### 3. Forebrain networks and the control of feeding by environmental learned cues

Food intake regulation involves complex interactions between the body and the brain, and elaborate computations of bodily energy states and needs and their translation into hunger and appetites. Proper detection and response to even seemingly simple perturbations, such as food deprivation, require complex coordination of the hypothalamic-brainstem circuitry regulating behavioral, visceral and endocrine output components [2]. These circuitries also communicate with the telencephalic networks responsible for encoding internal states and rewards with emotional and cognitive processes.

These telencephalic networks in turn regulate the hypothalamic-brainstem feeding circuitry through similarly complex mechanisms and exceedingly elaborate connections. Behavioral models for the control of consumption by environmental learned cues have provided a helpful framework for investigation of the functional circuitries within this distributed connective net, and evidence from those studies is presented next.

#### 3.1. The forebrain circuitry for cue induced feeding

The cue-induced feeding phenomenon was originally shown about three decades ago (see Section 2.1), but the brain mechanisms were not investigated systematically until much later. In the last decade, studies in rodents that applied brain lesions, pharmacological, genetic and functional neuroanatomical methods have identified four regions within the forebrain network that supports cue-induced feeding. These are telencephalic areas crucial for associative learning, memory encoding and decision-making, the amygdala, hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex, and an area which functions to integrate feeding, reward, and motivation, the lateral hypothalamus.

The first evidence that the basolateral area of the amygdala (includes basolateral, basomedial and lateral nuclei) is critical for cue induced feeding was initially found in the early 1990s [85]. This finding was later replicated [86,87] and extended to the analysis of the circuitry through which it acts to regulate feeding [36,88–91].

Subsequent studies that examined the circuitry for the amygdala action on feeding showed that the basolateral amygdala–lateral hypothalamic system is essential [88,91]. The basolateral amygdala can communicate with the lateral hypothalamus via direct, and indirect pathways, and one of the areas well positioned to relay the information between the two areas is the ventral medial prefrontal cortex [92–95]. Two studies then examined whether the ventral medial prefrontal cortex is an essential component of the critical forebrain system. The

first study showed that the amygdalar and medial prefrontal cortex neurons that send direct pathways to the lateral hypothalamus were selectively activated (measured with induction of immediate early genes) by the learned food cue that stimulates eating [91]. Then in the second study, that region of the medial prefrontal cortex was targeted with bilateral, neurotoxic lesions, which produced impairment in cue induced feeding [36], and confirmed its necessity in the critical forebrain circuitry.

The evidence for the hippocampal involvement in cue induced feeding is very recent and it specifically involves its ventral region [96] (see also Section 3.1.1). Nevertheless, a role of the hippocampus in the control of feeding has been established previously [97,98]. Furthermore, the ventral hippocampus is extensively connected with the basolateral amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex and the lateral hypothalamus, and therefore well placed to participate in processing within the cue induced feeding circuitry (for reviews see [95,99,100]).

Recent work has begun to further dissect the forebrain circuitry for cue induced feeding in terms of sub-architecture (Section 3.1.3) and peptide regulators involved (Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2). Findings from those studies are reviewed next.

### 3.1.1. Food cue integration with the lateral hypothalamic orexigenic peptides

As discussed above, prior work provided support that the telencephalon-lateral hypothalamic system is critical for cue induced feeding; however, the specific neuronal mediators within the lateral hypothalamus remained unknown. Recently, we examined whether the underlying mechanism involves recruitment (measured by induction of immediate early gene *c-fos* protein, Fos) of the lateral hypothalamic neurons with neuropeptides that stimulate feeding, orexin/hypocretin (ORX) and melanin-concentrating hormone (MCH) [101]. The ORX and MCH are expressed in separate neuronal populations [102–105], and their functions in the control of food intake are also distinct. The critical regulatory role in energy homeostasis has been established for MCH (e.g., [106–108]). In contrast, ORX's role in feeding is more complex. While it has a stimulatory effect on feeding (e.g., [109–111]), ORX is also critical for wakefulness and arousal (e.g., [112–116]), and is important for other motivated behaviors driven by food and drug rewards (e.g., [117–122], for reviews see [123,124]). Thus our goal was to test whether one or both of these orexigenic lateral hypothalamic neurons are recruited by a learned food cue.

The study used a preparation in which sated rats were tested independent of the training context, and in the absence of food. This was done because the training context, as well as food presentation and consumption, could stimulate Fos induction in the hypothalamic neurons. Nevertheless, in a group of rats that were allowed access to food in the same setting, the cue for food stimulated consumption. Using fluorescent immunohistochemistry method for combined detection of Fos and characterization of ORX and MCH neurons, the study showed that the cue for food (tone) selectively induced Fos in ORX but not in MCH neurons. Thus, a learned food cue selectively recruited ORX, but not MCH neurons, in a preparation that stimulates feeding in sated rats. Therefore, these results suggest a role for ORX in cue induced feeding that occurs in the absence of physiological hunger [101].

This was the first study to show that a discrete learned food cue recruits ORX neurons in the absence of food or physiological hunger. Nevertheless, these findings are in agreement with prior work with contextual cues associated with food in the conditioned place preference task [117,121,123,125].

These findings are also in agreement with prior rodent studies that examined Fos induction and found selective recruitment of ORX, but not MCH neurons across different behavioral preparations [126–130]. Nevertheless, almost all of these studies were conducted under non-food-deprived conditions and the lack of MCH recruitment might have been due to the physiological state. Indeed, fasting dramatically upregulates MCH expression [106], and might be required for MCH

recruitment. In that regard, the acquisition phase of cue-induced feeding occurs under food-restricted conditions and MCH might be important during that stage. In agreement, recent experiments showed that functional MCH receptor signaling was necessary for cue-induced feeding in mice [21].

The evidence for ORX neurons' recruitment by a food cue offers appealing functional implications for ORX involvement in cue induced feeding mechanisms. This recruitment might reflect ORX's role in anticipatory motivational mechanism induced by the food cue that primes an organism to eat. This postulation is in agreement with ORX's role in arousal and its proposed role in coordinating behavioral responses according to the current motivational state ([131]; for reviews see [114,132,133]). The exact inputs and substrates that recruit ORX or the circuitry through which ORX then acts to mediate anticipatory motivational mechanisms induced by food cues, are unknown. Nevertheless, the extensive distribution of ORX neurons' outputs and its receptors [104,134–136] allows for communication with forebrain and brainstem areas critical for feeding, arousal, and motivation [2,6].

Regarding ORX inputs, the amygdala and prefrontal cortex are the key candidates given their critical role in cue induced feeding. The regions of the basolateral area of the amygdala that send direct projections to the lateral hypothalamus (basomedial and basolateral nuclei) do not reach the perifornical area, and instead innervate the ventrolateral region of the lateral hypothalamus [91,99,137]. On the other hand, the ventromedial prefrontal cortex innervates the perifornical area, and thus might be able to directly influence ORX neurons [138,139]. In that regard, stimulation of  $\mu$ -opioid system within the ventromedial prefrontal cortex was recently shown to drive palatable food (carbohydrate) intake in sated rats [140]. Whether that system acts via ORX neurons remains to be determined, nevertheless it offers an intriguing possibility.

One of the potential key substrates might be ghrelin, which was recently shown to be critical for cue induced feeding (see Section 3.1.2). Ghrelin is the only currently known physiological hunger signal and therefore its implication in feeding driven by learned food cues is particularly intriguing. Ghrelin is a peptide released by the stomach before meals, and it acts through the vagus nerve to reach the brain, where its substrates include neurons that also produce ghrelin [141,142]. Ghrelin production and signaling in the body and in the brain therefore support its function in the homeostatic food intake and body weight regulation, as originally demonstrated with systemic and central ghrelin injections that stimulated food intake and body weight gain [143].

Additionally, a role for ghrelin in anticipatory and reward-mediated aspects of feeding behavior as well as a role in learning and memory has also been shown (e.g., [144–147]). Such diverse ghrelin functions are supported by the widespread distribution of its receptor (growth hormone secretagogue receptor) within the hypothalamic and brainstem feeding areas as well as within the telencephalon, including the amygdala and hippocampus [148,149]. Importantly, homeostatic and non-homeostatic ghrelin functions involve orexin (e.g., [145,150,151]), and similarly a ghrelin-orexin subsystem might be involved in cue induced feeding.

### 3.1.2. Cue induced feeding requires ghrelin signaling

Two recent studies offer compelling evidence that ghrelin signaling is necessary for learned food cue induced feeding [22,96]. The first study showed that ghrelin delivery into the ventral hippocampus increased meal initiation in sated rats in response to a food cue [96]. Ghrelin action through the ventral hippocampal neurons, therefore enhanced food cue stimulated feeding. That study is the first to show evidence for the involvement of the ventral hippocampus in cue induced feeding (see discussion in Section 3.1).

The second study showed that mice with ghrelin receptor deficiency induced pharmacologically or genetically, were impaired in cue induced feeding. A treatment with a ghrelin receptor antagonist during the cue-food learning phase later blocked enhanced eating in response to

the cue for food at testing; control mice ate more when primed with the food cue compared to their consumption when primed with a control cue, while mice that had blocked ghrelin receptors showed similar low consumption under both cues. In another experiment in the same study, ghrelin receptor null mice were also impaired in cue induced feeding, but they showed enhanced eating in response to both the food cue and to the control cue. In accordance with their non-selective enhanced eating the ghrelin receptor null mice also had increased immediate early gene induction in the basolateral amygdalar neurons in response to both cues [22].

The findings from these studies demonstrate the importance of ghrelin signaling in cue induced feeding, and highlight the ventral hippocampus as a critical site of its action. Future studies are needed to determine the exact function ghrelin supports in cue induced feeding and whether it acts through multiple sites within the critical circuitry.

### 3.1.3. Amygdalo-prefrontal-lateral hypothalamic circuitry

Previous work showed that the basolateral area of the amygdala, the medial prefrontal cortex, and their connections with the lateral hypothalamus are essential for cue induced feeding (see Section 3.1). The basolateral area of the amygdala communicates with the lateral hypothalamus through a direct pathway, as well as through indirect routes, including relays via the medial prefrontal cortex. Whether the same or different amygdala neurons give rise to the pathways to the lateral hypothalamus and to the pathways to the medial prefrontal cortex is unclear.

Recently, we began to characterize these pathways with neuroanatomical tract tracing methods. We used double retrograde tracer approach to examine whether the same neurons in the basolateral area of the amygdala send pathways to the lateral hypothalamus and to the medial prefrontal cortex. Preliminary findings from that study showed that almost completely separate populations of amygdala neurons contribute to the pathways to the medial prefrontal cortex and to the pathways to the lateral hypothalamus, suggesting that the amygdala communicates with the prefrontal cortex and the lateral hypothalamus via separate routes [137,139]. These findings have important implications for the organization of the amygdalo-prefrontal-hypothalamic networks in the control of feeding.

In that regard, ongoing projects in our laboratory are mapping functional activation (Fos induction) of the amygdalo-medial prefrontal cortex pathway during the acquisition of cue-food association that supports cue induced feeding. Other related studies have begun to map functional activation within the critical forebrain regions during early and late stages of cue-food learning to determine network plasticity underlying cue-food association [152].

### 3.2. The forebrain substrates for cue driven inhibition of feeding

The role of the amygdala in aversive associative learning is well known, and its central nucleus and the basolateral area comprise a circuit critical for conditioned fear learning [53,64,153–155]. Therefore, we examined whether each of these regions is also critical for the inhibition of feeding by a fear cue. The study found that the central nucleus of the amygdala, but not the basolateral area is necessary for fear cue cessation of eating [65]. Bilateral, neurotoxic lesions of the central amygdala, but not bilateral, neurotoxic lesions of the basolateral amygdala, abolished fear cue induced feeding cessation, while lesions of either of the two structures abolished fear cue induced freezing behavior [65]. The finding determined the amygdala substrate for cessation of feeding by an aversive CS, and also revealed that dissociable amygdalar subsystems support CS's influence on feeding and CS-induced freezing (see also discussion on freezing and cessation of feeding dissociation in Section 2.2).

The central nucleus of the amygdala is well positioned to block feeding and to help prioritize defensive behavioral response via distributed connectional network with the hypothalamic and brainstem areas

coordinating the expression of these behaviors. It could exert its action on feeding via direct pathways to the brainstem, lateral hypothalamus, and bed nuclei of the stria terminalis targets [95,156,157], and via indirect pathways to the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus [156,158]. Ongoing experiments in our laboratory are examining these critical targets using imaging methods (Fos induction maps).

Initially, our efforts are focused on the lateral hypothalamic substrates. The central nucleus of the amygdala provides a dense innervation of the lateral hypothalamus [99], including the perifornical region where its targets include ORX and MCH neurons [136,159]. Based on anatomical evidence the input to the ORX and MCH neurons from the central amygdalar neurons is inhibitory [159], which would suggest a mechanism for inhibition of feeding via direct blockade of these two orexigenic drivers. Future studies will examine additional targets within the feeding network and the plasticity that underlies learned fear cue inhibition of feeding [2,5,6,8,95,160–162]. Additional studies are also needed to test whether repeated exposure to fear cue might contribute to long-term suppression of eating and changes in body weight.

### 3.3. The brain substrates underlying sex differences in the control of feeding by learned cues

Current work in our laboratory is examining the brain substrates underlying the sex differences found in fear cue induced inhibition of feeding (see Section 2.3.1). This is an ambitious project that is mapping brain activation patterns (Fos induction) in male and female rats across different stages of extinction of fear cue inhibition of feeding. The ultimate aim is to determine the brain substrates mediating prolonged fear cue driven inhibition of feeding in females.

The initial focus is on two brain areas. One is the central nucleus of the amygdala, which prior work in males showed was necessary for fear cue inhibition of feeding [65], and which has extensive access to the hypothalamic and brainstem feeding systems [95,99]. The other is the medial prefrontal cortex, which is critical for extinction of fear [162] and is also important for food regulation [36,140], and which sends substantial input to the central nucleus [92,93]. These experiments are therefore testing the hypothesis that the medial prefrontal cortex–central amygdalar nucleus system is critical for the prolonged fear effects on feeding observed in females. This work will continue with additional brain analysis and as well as manipulations of circulating sex hormones.

## 4. Concluding remarks

This review discussed recent advancements in our understanding of the brain mechanisms underlying environmental control of food intake. The focus was on two behavioral models that use associative learning to control food consumption independent of ongoing physiological hunger-satiety state. In one preparation learned environmental cues for food are used to stimulate consumption in sated states, while in the other preparation learned fear cues are used to inhibit feeding in hungry states. As such these behavioral models have provided a useful framework for analyses of the brain mechanisms underlying the motivation to eat controlled not by hunger, but by environmental cues.

Based on the evidence from studies with these models the essential circuitry for cue induced feeding is formed by the basolateral amygdala, the medial prefrontal cortex, the ventral hippocampus and the lateral hypothalamus. The circuitry for fear cue inhibition of feeding requires the central nucleus of the amygdala, but the rest of the system is yet to be revealed. Indeed, mapping the critical brain circuitries remains an important step in deciphering the brain mechanisms underlying environmental control of food intake and body weight. The findings reviewed provide a blueprint for future work on the role of the telencephalon in the control of feeding by

environmental cues, including maladaptive influences that could lead to overeating and anorexia.

## Acknowledgments

I am very grateful for the exceptional honor of being selected as a recipient of the Alan N. Epstein Research Award, and would like to thank the Society for the Study of Ingestive Behavior and the committee who supported my nomination. I am also very grateful to the many mentors and collaborators who have shaped my scientific development over the years and who continue to guide and inspire my research. Supported by the National Institute of Health Grant (DK085721).

## References

- Mogenson GJ. Neurobiology of behavior: an introduction. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1977.
- Swanson LW. Cerebral hemisphere regulation of motivated behavior. *Brain Res* 2000;886:113–64.
- Schachter S. Obesity and eating. Internal and external cues differentially affect the eating behavior of obese and normal subjects. *Science* 1968;161:751–6.
- Booth DA. Mood- and nutrient-conditioned appetites. Cultural and physiological bases for eating disorders. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1989;575:122–35.
- Berthoud HR. Interactions between the “cognitive” and “metabolic” brain in the control of food intake. *Physiol Behav* 2007;91:486–98.
- Grill HJ, Kaplan JM. The neuroanatomical axis for control of energy balance. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2002;23:2–40.
- Morton GJ, Cummings DE, Baskin DG, Barsh GS, Schwartz MW. Central nervous system control of food intake and body weight. *Nature* 2006;443:289–95.
- Saper CB, Chou TC, Elmquist JK. The need to feed: homeostatic and hedonic control of eating. *Neuron* 2002;36:199–211.
- Stroebele N, de Castro JM. Effect of ambience on food intake and food choice. *Nutrition* 2004;20:821–38.
- Small DM. Individual differences in the neurophysiology of reward and the obesity epidemic. *Int J Obes* 2009;33:544–8.
- Hill JO, Wyatt HR, Reed GW, Peters JC. Obesity and the environment: where do we go from here? *Science* 2003;161:751–6.
- Levitsky DA. The non-regulation of food intake in humans: hope for reversing the epidemic of obesity. *Physiol Behav* 2005;86:623–32.
- Popkin BM, Duffey K, Gordon-Larsen P. Environmental influences on food choice, physical activity and energy balance. *Physiol Behav* 2005;86:603–13.
- Berthoud HR, Morrison C. The brain, appetite, and obesity. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2008;59:55–92.
- Bulik CM. Exploring the gene–environment nexus in eating disorders. *J Psychiatry Neurosci* 2005;30:335–9.
- Treasure J, Claudino AM, Zucker N. Eating disorders. *Lancet* 2010;375:583–93.
- Klein DA, Walsh BT. Eating disorders: clinical features and pathophysiology. *Physiol Behav* 2004;81:359–74.
- Kaye W. Neurobiology of anorexia and bulimia nervosa. *Physiol Behav* 2008;94:121–35.
- Swanson LW. Brain architecture: understanding the basic plan. 2nd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2012.
- Le Merrer J, Stephens DN. Food-induced behavioral sensitization, its cross-sensitization to cocaine and morphine, pharmacological blockade, and effect on food intake. *J Neurosci* 2006;26:7163–71.
- Johnson AW, Sherwood A, Adamantidis A, Millan M, Gallagher M, Holland PC. Melanin concentrating hormone influences cue driven food intake under conditions of satiety. Program No 72805 2011 Neuroscience Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Online.
- Walker AK, Ibia IE, Zigman JM. Disruption of cue-potentiated feeding in mice with blocked ghrelin signaling. *Physiol Behav* 2012;108:34–43.
- Yamamoto T, Shimura T, Sako N, Yasoshima Y, Sakai N. Neural substrates for conditioned taste aversion in the rat. *Behav Brain Res* 1994;65:123–37.
- Bernstein IL. Taste aversion learning: a contemporary perspective. *Nutrition* 1999;15:229–34.
- Petrovich GD, Gallagher M. Amygdala subsystems and control of feeding behavior by learned cues. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2003;985:251–62.
- Sclafani A. Oral and postoral determinants of food reward. *Physiol Behav* 2004;81:773–9.
- Volkow ND, Wise RA. How can drug addiction help us understand obesity? *Nat Neurosci* 2005;8:555–60.
- Cota D, Tschöp MH, Horvath TL, Levine AS. Cannabinoids, opioids and eating behavior: the molecular face of hedonism? *Brain Res Rev* 2006;51:85–107.
- Berridge KC, Ho CY, Richard JM, DiFeliceantonio AG. The tempted brain eats: pleasure and desire circuits in obesity and eating disorders. *Brain Res* 2010;1350:43–64.
- Weingarten HP. Conditioned cues elicit feeding in sated rats: a role for learning in meal initiation. *Science* 1983;220:431–3.
- Cornell CE, Rodin J, Weingarten H. Stimulus-induced eating when satiated. *Physiol Behav* 1989;45:695–704.
- Birch LL, McPhee L, Sullivan S, Johnson S. Conditioned meal initiation in young children. *Appetite* 1989;13:105–13.
- Petrovich GD. Forebrain circuits and control of feeding by learned cues. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 2011;95:152–8 [Epub 2010].
- Petrovich GD. Learning and the motivation to eat: forebrain circuitry. *Physiol Behav* 2011;104:582–9.
- Petrovich GD, Ross CA, Gallagher M, Holland PC. Learned contextual cue potentiates eating in rats. *Physiol Behav* 2007;90:362–7.
- Petrovich GD, Ross CA, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Medial prefrontal cortex is necessary for an appetitive contextual conditioned stimulus to promote eating in sated rats. *J Neurosci* 2007;27:6436–41.
- Boggiano MM, Dorsey JR, Thomas JM, Murdaugh DL. The Pavlovian power of palatable food: lessons for weight-loss adherence from a new rodent model of cue-induced overeating. *Int J Obes* 2009;33:693–701.
- Holland PC, Bouton ME. Hippocampus and context in classical conditioning. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 1999;9:195–202.
- Bouton ME. Context and behavioral processes in extinction. *Learn Mem* 2004;11:485–94.
- Nielsen SJ, Popkin BM. Patterns and trends in food portion sizes, 1977–1998. *JAMA* 2003;289.
- Weingarten HP. Stimulus control of eating: implications for a two-factor theory of hunger. *Appetite* 1985;6:387–401.
- Petrovich GD, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Medial prefrontal cortex is necessary for an appetitive conditioned stimulus to promote eating in sated rats. *Soc Neurosci Abstr* 2005;31.
- Galarce EM, Crombag HS, Holland PC. Reinforcer-specificity of appetitive and consummatory behavior of rats after Pavlovian conditioning with food reinforcers. *Physiol Behav* 2007;91:95–105.
- Delamater AR, Holland PC. The influence of CS–US interval on several different indices of learning in appetitive conditioning. *J Exp Psychol Anim Behav Process* 2008;34:202–22.
- Fedoroff I, Polivy J, Herman CP. The specificity of restrained versus unrestrained eaters’ responses to food cues: general desire to eat, or craving for the cued food? *Appetite* 2003;41:7–13.
- Ferriday D, Brunstrom JM. How does food-cue exposure lead to larger meal sizes? *Br J Nutr* 2008;100:1325–32.
- Reppucci CJ, Petrovich GD. Learned food-cue stimulates persistent feeding in sated rats. *Appetite* 2012;59:437–47.
- Weingarten HP, Elston D. The phenomenology of food craving. *Appetite* 1990;15:231–46.
- Corwin RL. Limited access to a dietary fat option affects ingestive behavior but not body composition in male rats. *Physiol Behav* 1998;65:545–53.
- Corwin RL, Avena NM, Boggiano MM. Feeding and reward: perspectives from three rat models of binge eating. *Physiol Behav* 2011;104.
- Blanchard RJ, Blanchard DC. Crouching as an index of fear. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* 1969;67:370–5.
- Fanselow MS. What is conditioned fear? *Trends Neurosci* 1984;7:400–2.
- Davis M. The role of the amygdala in fear and anxiety. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 1992;15:353–75.
- Estes KW, Skinner BF. Some quantitative properties of anxiety. *J Exp Psychol* 1941;29:390–400.
- Bouton ME, Bolles RC. Conditioned fear assessed by freezing and by the suppression of three different baselines. *Anim Learn Behav* 1980;8:429–34.
- Nageishi Y, Imada H. Suppression of licking behavior in rats as a function of predictability of shock and probability of conditioned-stimulus-shock pairings. *J Comp Physiol Psychol* 1974;87:1165–73.
- Gustavson CR, Gustavson JC. Food avoidance in rats: the differential effects of shock, illness and repellents. *Appetite* 1982;3:335–40.
- Witnauer JE, Miller RR. Conditioned suppression is an inverted-U function of footshock intensity. *Learn Behav* 2012 [Epub ahead of print].
- Killcross S, Robbins TW, Everitt BJ. Different types of fear-conditioned behaviour mediated by separate nuclei within amygdala. *Nature* 1997;388:377–80.
- Cannon WB. Bodily changes in pain, hunger, fear and rage. 2nd ed. New York: Appleton; 1915.
- Schachter S, Goldman R, Gordon R. Effects of fear, food deprivation, and obesity on eating. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1968;10:91–7.
- Fendt M, Fanselow MS. The neuroanatomical and neurochemical basis of conditioned fear. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 1999;23:743–60.
- LeDoux JE. Emotion circuits in the brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2000;23:155–84.
- Maren S. Neurobiology of Pavlovian fear conditioning. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2001;24:897–931.
- Petrovich GD, Ross CA, Mody P, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Central but not basolateral amygdala is critical for control of feeding by aversive conditioned cues. *J Neurosci* 2009;29:15205–12.
- Petrovich GD, Lougee M. Sex differences in fear cue-induced inhibition of feeding: prolonged effect in female rats. *Physiol Behav* 2011;104:996–1001.
- Kuthyar M, Reppucci CJ, Petrovich GD. Fear-contextual cues inhibit eating in food-deprived male and female rats. Program No 41213 2011 Neuroscience Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Online.
- Petrovich GD, Ross CA, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Central but not basolateral amygdala is critical for control of feeding by aversive, conditioned cues. *Soc Neurosci* 2006;32 [Abstr.].
- Cahill L. Why sex matters for neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2006;7:477–84.
- Beery AK, Zucker I. Sex bias in neuroscience and biomedical research. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2011;35:565–72.
- McCarthy MM, Arnold AP, Ball GF, Blaustein JD, De Vries GJ. Sex differences in the brain: the not so inconvenient truth. Program No 70423 2012 2012;32:2241–7 Neuroscience Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Online.

- [72] Powell D, Cole S, Petrovich GD. Sex differences in contextual processing in counterconditioning in rats. Program No 70423 2012 Neuroscience Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Online.
- [73] Geary N, Lovejoy J. Sex differences in energy metabolism, obesity, and eating behavior. Sex differences in the brain from genes to behavior. New York: Oxford University Press; 2008:253–74.
- [74] Dalla C, Shors TJ. Sex differences in learning processes of classical and operant conditioning. *Physiol Behav* 2009;97:229–38.
- [75] Becker JB, Taylor JR. Sex differences in motivation. In: Becker JB, Berkley KJ, Geary N, Hampson E, Herman JP, Young EA, editors. Sex differences in the brain from genes to behavior. New York: Oxford University Press; 2008. p. 177–99.
- [76] Solomon MB, Herman JP. Sex differences in psychopathology: of gonads, adrenals and mental illness. *Physiol Behav* 2009;97:250–8.
- [77] McEwen BS. Stress, sex, and neural adaptation to a changing environment: mechanisms of neuronal remodeling. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2010;1204:E38–59 [Suppl.].
- [78] Eckel LA. The ovarian hormone estradiol plays a crucial role in the control of food intake in females. *Physiol Behav* 2011;104:517–24.
- [79] Association AP. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press; 1994.
- [80] Jimerson DC, Wolfe BE. Psychobiology of eating disorders. In: Wonderlich A, Mitchell JE, de Zwaan M, Steiger H, editors. Annual review of eating disorders part 2—2006. Oxford: Radcliffe Publishing; 2006. p. 1–15.
- [81] Giordano GD, Renzetti P, Parodi RC, Foppiani L, Zandrino F, Giordano G, et al. Volume measurement with magnetic resonance imaging of hippocampus-amygdala formation in patients with anorexia nervosa. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2001;24:510–4.
- [82] Takano A, Shiga T, Kitagawa N, Koyama T, Katoh C, Tsukamoto E, et al. Abnormal neuronal network in anorexia nervosa studied with I-123-IMP SPECT. *Psychiatry Res* 2001;107:45–50.
- [83] Uher R, Murphy T, Brammer MJ, Dalgleish T, Phillips ML, Ng VW, et al. Medial prefrontal cortex activity associated with symptom provocation in eating disorders. *Am J Psychiatry* 2004;161:1238–46.
- [84] Seeger G, Braus DF, Ruf M, Goldberger U, Schmidt MH. Body image distortion reveals amygdala activation in patients with anorexia nervosa – a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neurosci Lett* 2002;326:25–8.
- [85] Gallagher M, Holland PC. Understanding the function of the central nucleus: is simple conditioning enough? In: Aggleton JP, editor. The amygdala: neurobiological aspects of emotion, memory, and mental dysfunction. New York: Wiley-Liss; 1992. p. 307–21.
- [86] Holland PC, Hatfield T, Gallagher M. Rats with basolateral amygdala lesions show normal increases in conditioned stimulus processing but reduced conditioned potentiation of eating. *Behav Neurosci* 2001;115:945–50.
- [87] Holland PC, Gallagher M. Double dissociation of the effects of lesions of basolateral and central amygdala on conditioned stimulus-potentiated feeding and Pavlovian-instrumental transfer. *Eur J Neurosci* 2003;17:1680–94.
- [88] Petrovich GD, Setlow B, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Amygdalo-hypothalamic circuit allows learned cues to override satiety and promote eating. *J Neurosci* 2002;22:8748–53.
- [89] Holland PC, Petrovich GD. A neural systems analysis of the potentiation of feeding by conditioned stimuli. *Physiol Behav* 2005;86:747–61.
- [90] McDannald MA, Saddoris MP, Gallagher M, Holland PC. Lesions of orbitofrontal cortex impair rats' differential outcome expectancy learning but not conditioned stimulus-potentiated feeding. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:4626–32.
- [91] Petrovich GD, Holland PC, Gallagher M. Amygdalar and prefrontal pathways to the lateral hypothalamus are activated by a learned cue that stimulates eating. *J Neurosci* 2005;25:8295–302.
- [92] Sesack SR, Deutch AY, Roth RH, Bunney BS. Topographical organization of the efferent projections of the medial prefrontal cortex in the rat: an anterograde tract-tracing study with *Phaseolus vulgaris* leucoagglutinin. *J Comp Neurol* 1989;290:213–42.
- [93] Hurlley KM, Herbert H, Moga MM, Saper CB. Efferent projections of the infralimbic cortex of the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 1991;308:249–76.
- [94] Risold PY, Thompson RH, Swanson LW. The structural organization of connections between hypothalamus and cerebral cortex. *Brain Res Rev* 1997;24:197–254.
- [95] Swanson LW, Petrovich GD. What is the amygdala? *Trends Neurosci* 1998;21:323–31.
- [96] Kanoski SE, Fortin SM, Ricks KM, Grill HJ. Ghrelin signaling in the ventral hippocampus stimulates learned and motivational aspects of feeding via PI3K–Akt signaling. *Biol Psychiatry* 2012 [Epub ahead of print].
- [97] Davidson TL, Kanoski SE, Schier LA, Clegg DJ, Benoit SC. A potential role for the hippocampus in energy intake and body weight regulation. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 2007;7:613–6.
- [98] Davidson TL, Chan K, Jarrard LE, Kanoski SE, Clegg DJ, Benoit SC. Contributions of the hippocampus and medial prefrontal cortex to energy and body weight regulation. *Hippocampus* 2009;19:235–52.
- [99] Petrovich GD, Canteras NS, Swanson LW. Combinatorial amygdalar inputs to hippocampal domains and hypothalamic behavior systems. *Brain Res Rev* 2001;38:247–89.
- [100] Fanselow MS, Dong HW. Are the dorsal and ventral hippocampus functionally distinct structures? *Neuron* 2010;65:7–19.
- [101] Petrovich GD, Hobin MP, Reppucci CJ. Selective Fos induction in hypothalamic orexin/hypocretin, but not melanin-concentrating hormone neurons, by a learned food-cue that stimulates feeding in sated rats. *Neuroscience* 2012;224:70–80.
- [102] Broberger C, de Lecea L, Sutcliffe JG, Hökfelt T. Hypocretin/orexin- and melanin-concentrating hormone-expressing cells form distinct populations in the rodent lateral hypothalamus: relationship to the neuropeptide Y and agouti gene-related protein systems. *J Comp Neurol* 1998;402:460–74.
- [103] Elias CF, Saper CB, Maratos-Flier E, Tritos NA, Lee C, Kelly J, et al. Chemically defined projections linking the mediobasal hypothalamus and the lateral hypothalamic area. *J Comp Neurol* 1998;402:442–59.
- [104] Peyron C, Tighe DK, van den Pol AN, de Lecea L, Heller HC, Sutcliffe JG, et al. Neurons containing hypocretin (orexin) project to multiple neuronal systems. *J Neurosci* 1998;18:9996–10015.
- [105] Swanson LW, Sanchez-Watts G, Watts AG. Comparison of melanin-concentrating hormone and hypocretin/orexin mRNA expression patterns in a new parcelling scheme of the lateral hypothalamic zone. *Neurosci Lett* 2005;387:80–4.
- [106] Qu D, Ludwig DS, Gammeltoft S, Piper M, Pelleymounter MA, Cullen MJ, et al. A role for melanin-concentrating hormone in the central regulation of feeding behavior. *Nature* 1996;380:243–7.
- [107] Shimada M, Tritos NA, Lowell BB, Flier JS, Maratos-Flier E. Mice lacking melanin-concentrating hormone are hypophagic and lean. *Nature* 1998;396:670–4.
- [108] Ludwig DS, Tritos NA, Mastaistis JW, Kulkarni R, Kokkotoy E, Elmquist J, et al. Melanin-concentrating hormone overexpression in transgenic mice leads to obesity and insulin resistance. *J Clin Invest* 2001;107:379–86.
- [109] Sakurai T, Amemiya A, Ishii M, Matsuzaki I, Chemelli RM, Tanaka H, et al. Orexins and orexin receptors: a family of hypothalamic neuropeptides and G protein-coupled receptors that regulate feeding behavior. *Cell* 1998;92:573–85.
- [110] Rodgers RJ. Dose–response effects of orexin-A on food intake and the behavioural satiety sequence in rats. *Regul Pept* 2000;96:71–84.
- [111] Clegg DJ, Air EL, Woods SC, Seeley RJ. Eating elicited by orexin-a, but not melanin-concentrating hormone, is opioid mediated. *Endocrinology* 2002;143:2995–3000.
- [112] de Lecea L, Kilduff TS, Peyron C, Gao X, Foye PE, Danielson PE, et al. The hypocretins: hypothalamus-specific peptides with neuroexcitatory activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1998;95:322–7.
- [113] Chemelli RM, Willie JT, Sinton CM, Elmquist JK, Scammell T, Lee G, et al. Narcolepsy in orexin knockout mice: molecular genetics of sleep regulation. *Cell* 1999;98:437–51.
- [114] Boutrel B, Cannella N, de Lecea L. The role of hypocretin in driving arousal and goal-oriented behaviors. *Brain Res* 2010;1314:103–11.
- [115] Mieda M, Willie JT, Hara J, Sinton CM, Sakurai T, Yanagisawa M. Orexin peptides prevent cataplexy and improve wakefulness in an orexin neuron-ablated model of narcolepsy in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:4649–54.
- [116] Berridge CW, España RA, Vittoz NM. Hypocretin/orexin in arousal and stress. *Brain Res* 2010;1314:91–102.
- [117] Harris GC, Wimmer M, Aston-Jones G. A role for lateral hypothalamic orexin neurons in reward seeking. *Nature* 2005;437:556–9.
- [118] Nair SG, Golden SA, Shaham Y. Differential effects of the hypocretin 1 receptor antagonist SB 334867 on high-fat food self-administration and reinstatement of food seeking in rats. *Br J Pharmacol* 2008;154:406–16.
- [119] Borgland SL, Chang SJ, Bowers MS, Thompson JL, Vittoz N, Floresco SB, et al. Orexin A/hypocretin-1 selectively promotes motivation for positive reinforcers. *J Neurosci* 2009;29:11215–25.
- [120] Thorpe AJ, Cleary JP, Levine AS, Kotz CM. Centrally administered orexin A increases motivation for sweet pellets in rats. *Psychopharmacology* 2005;182:75–83.
- [121] Choi DL, Davis JF, Fitzgerald ME, Benoit SC. The role of orexin-A in food motivation, reward-based feeding behavior and food-induced neuronal activation in rats. *Neuroscience* 2010;167:11–20.
- [122] Sharf R, Sarhan M, Brayton CE, Guamieri DJ, Taylor JR, DiLeone RJ. Orexin signaling via the orexin 1 receptor mediates operant responding for food reinforcement. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010;67:753–60.
- [123] Cason AM, Smith RJ, Tahsili-Fahadan P, Moorman DE, Sartor GC, Aston-Jones G. Role of orexin/hypocretin in reward-seeking and addiction: implications for obesity. *Physiol Behav* 2010;100:419–28.
- [124] Sharf R, Sarhan M, DiLeone RJ. Role of orexin/hypocretin in dependence and addiction. *Brain Res* 2010;1314:130–8.
- [125] Harris GC, Aston-Jones G. Arousal and reward: a dichotomy in orexin function. *Trends Neurosci* 2006;29:571–7.
- [126] Stratford TR, Kelley AE. GABA in the nucleus accumbens shell participates in the central regulation of feeding behavior. *J Neurosci* 1997;17:4434–40.
- [127] Zheng H, Corkern M, Stoyanova I, Patterson LM, Tian R, Berthoud HR. Peptides that regulate food intake: appetite-inducing accumbens manipulation activates hypothalamic orexin neurons and inhibits POMC neurons. *Am J Physiol* 2003;284:R1436–44.
- [128] Baldo BA. Activation of a subpopulation of orexin/hypocretin-containing hypothalamic neurons by GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor-mediated inhibition of the nucleus accumbens shell, but not exposure to a novel environment. *Eur J Neurosci* 2004;19:376–86.
- [129] Georgescu D, Zachariou V, Barrot M, Mieda M, Willie JT, Eisch AJ, et al. Involvement of the lateral hypothalamic peptide orexin in morphine dependence and withdrawal. *J Neurosci* 2003;23:3106–11.
- [130] Watts AG, Sanchez-Watts G. Rapid and preferential activation of Fos protein in hypocretin/orexin neurons following the reversal of dehydration-anorexia. *J Comp Neurol* 2007;502:768–82.
- [131] Yamanaka A, Beuckmann CT, Willie JT, Hara J, Tsujino N, Mieda M, et al. Hypothalamic orexin neurons regulate arousal according to energy balance in mice. *Neuron* 2003;38:701–13.
- [132] Willie JT, Chemelli RM, Sinton CM, Yanagisawa M. To eat or to sleep? Orexin in the regulation of feeding and wakefulness. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2001;24:429–58.
- [133] Saper CB. Staying awake for dinner: hypothalamic integration of sleep, feeding, and circadian rhythms. *Prog Brain Res* 2006;153:243–52.
- [134] Marcus JN, Aschkenasi CJ, Lee CE, Chemelli RM, Saper CB, Yanagisawa M, et al. Differential expression of orexin receptors 1 and 2 in the rat brain. *J Comp Neurol* 2001;435:6–25.



- [135] Baldo BA, Daniel RA, Berridge CW, Kelley AE. Overlapping distribution of orexin/hypocretin- and dopamine-b-hydroxylase immunoreactive fibers in rat brain regions mediating arousal, motivation, and stress. *J Comp Neurol* 2003;464:220–37.
- [136] Yoshida K, McCormack S, España RA, Crocker A, Scammell T. Afferents to the orexin neurons of the rat brain. *J Comp Neurol* 2006;494:845–61.
- [137] Reppucci CJ, Petrovich GD. Characterization of the basolateral amygdala pathways to the medial prefrontal cortex and lateral hypothalamus in rats. Program No 60016 2011 Neuroscience Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Online.
- [138] Floyd NS, Price JL, Ferry AT, Keay KA, Bandler R. Orbitomedial prefrontal cortical projections to hypothalamus in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 2001;432:307–28.
- [139] Reppucci CJ, Petrovich GD. Neuroanatomical evidence for a topographically organized forebrain network composed of the amygdala, medial prefrontal cortex, and lateral hypothalamus in rats. Program No 28305 2012 Neuroscience Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Online.
- [140] Mena JD, Sadeghian K, Baldo BA. Induction of hyperphagia and carbohydrate intake by  $\mu$ -opioid receptor stimulation in circumscribed region of frontal cortex. *J Neurosci* 2011;31:3249–60.
- [141] Cummings DE, Purnell JQ, Frayo RS, Schmidova K, Wisse BE, Weigle DS. A preprandial rise in plasma ghrelin levels suggests a role in meal initiation in humans. *Diabetes* 2001;50:1714–9.
- [142] Castañeda TR, Tong J, Datta R, Culler M, Tschöp MH. Ghrelin in the regulation of body weight and metabolism. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2010;31:44–60.
- [143] Tschöp MH, Smiley DL, Heiman ML. Ghrelin induces adiposity in rodents. *Nature* 2000;407:908–13.
- [144] Johnson AW, Canter R, Gallagher M, Holland PC. Assessing the role of the growth hormone secretagogue receptor in motivational learning and food intake. *Behav Neurosci* 2009;123:1058–65.
- [145] Perello M, Sakata I, Birnbaum S, Chuang JC, Osborne-Lawrence S, Rovinsky SA, et al. Ghrelin increases the rewarding value of high fat diet in an orexin-dependent manner. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010;67:880–6.
- [146] Davis JF, Choi DL, Clegg DJ, Benoit SC. Signaling through the ghrelin receptor modulates hippocampal function and meal anticipation in mice. *Physiol Behav* 2011;103:39–43 [Epub 2010].
- [147] Merkestein M, Brans MA, Luijendijk MC, de Jong JW, Egecioglu E, Dickson SL, et al. Ghrelin mediates anticipation to a palatable meal in rats. *Obesity* 2012;20:963–71.
- [148] Guan XM, Yu H, Palyha OC, McKee KK, Feighner SD, Sirinathsinghji DJ, et al. Distribution of mRNA encoding the growth hormone secretagogue receptor in brain and peripheral tissues. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 1997;48:23–9.
- [149] Zigman JM, Jones JE, Lee CE, Saper CB, Elmquist JK. Expression of ghrelin receptor mRNA in the rat and the mouse brain. *J Comp Neurol* 2006;494:528–48.
- [150] Lawrence CB, Snape AC, Baudoin FM, Luckman SM. Acute central ghrelin and GH secretagogues induce feeding and activate brain appetite centers. *Endocrinology* 2002;143:155–62.
- [151] Toshinai K, Date Y, Murakami N, Shimada M, Mondal MS, Shimbara T, et al. Ghrelin-induced food intake is mediated via the orexin pathway. *Endocrinology* 2003;144:1506–12.
- [152] Cole S, Powell D, Petrovich GD. Neural substrates of Pavlovian appetitive conditioning: amygdala circuitry. Program No 70418 2012 Neuroscience Meeting Planner. Society for Neuroscience, Online.
- [153] Kapp BS, Pascoe JP, Bixler MA. The amygdala: a neuroanatomical systems approach to its contribution to aversive conditioning. In: Squire L, Butters N, editors. *The neuropsychology of memory*. New York: The Guilford Press; 1984. p. 473–88.
- [154] Fanselow MS, Poulos AM. The neuroscience of mammalian associative learning. *Annu Rev Psychol* 2005;56:207–34.
- [155] LeDoux J. Rethinking the emotional brain. *Neuron* 2012;73:653–76.
- [156] Dong HW, Petrovich GD, Swanson LW. Topography of projections from amygdala to bed nuclei of the stria terminalis. *Brain Res Rev* 2001;38:192–246.
- [157] Hahn JD, Swanson LW. Distinct patterns of neuronal inputs and outputs of the juxtaparaventricular and supraformal regions of the lateral hypothalamic area in the male rat. *Brain Res Rev* 2010;64:14–103.
- [158] Prewitt CM, Herman JP. Anatomical interactions between the central amygdaloid nucleus and the hypothalamic paraventricular nucleus of the rat: a dual tract-tracing analysis. *J Chem Neuroanat* 1998;15:173–85.
- [159] Nakamura S, Tsumori T, Yokota S, Oka T, Yasui Y. Amygdaloid axons innervate melanin-concentrating hormone and orexin containing neurons in the mouse lateral hypothalamus. *Brain Res* 2009;1278:66–74.
- [160] Schwartz MW, Woods SC, Porte DJ, Seeley RJ, Baskin DG. Central nervous system control of food intake. *Nature* 2000;404:661–71.
- [161] Walker DL, Toufexis DJ, Davis M. Role of the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis versus the amygdala in fear, stress, and anxiety. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2003;463:199–216.
- [162] Quirk GJ, Garcia R, Gonzalez-Lima F. Prefrontal mechanisms in extinction of conditioned fear. *Biol Psychiatry* 2006;60:337–43.