

The Impact of Computer Programming on Sequencing Ability in Early Childhood

Elizabeth R. Kazakoff *Tufts University* Marina U. Bers *Tufts University*

Abstract

This paper examines the impact of a computer programming and robotics curriculum (TangibleK) on sequencing ability in early childhood. Thirty-four children (ages 4.5 - 6.5) participated in computer programming activities with a hybrid tangible-graphical user interface called CHERP. The children learned to build and program robots through three sessions of one-and-one half hours each in a laboratory setting. The participants' sequencing skills were assessed before and after the intervention using a story sequencing task. Pre and post-test scores were compared using a paired sample t-test. A significant increase in post-test scores compared to pre-test scores was found.

Sample

- 34 young children from urban and suburban, public and private, local elementary schools with a mean age of 5.5 years (4.5 6.6, SD = 0.5).
- 68% males and 32% females with 29% prekindergarten and 71% kindergarten students.
- 67% (according to parents) 70% (according to children) used computers outside of school

Method

Children were evaluated before and after the robotics instruction using an assessment derived from the picture-sequencing test developed by Baron-Cohen (Baron-Cohen et. al., 1986) which consisted of 15 picture stories in five different categories standardized with a group



of children ages 3.5 - 5.9, M = 4.5, SD = 0.7. (slightly younger than our sample). The stories in each category are of the same level of difficulty.

Results

Picture sequencing pre-test and post-test scores were compared using a paired sample t- test.

- Mean pretest score was 7.06 (SD = 2.45)
- Mean posttest score was 8.44 (*SD* = 1.76)
- 19.5% increase in average test score
- A paired t- test found the increase in test scores was significant, t (33) = 2.71, p < .01

"I don't know for sure if it is the robotics, but, I have NEVER had a group of kids pick writing How-To books up as quickly. Additionally, the instructions that they give in the step by step format of How-To books is so detailed and clear. I know I can teach but I'm not **that** good. Just thought you'd find it interesting that what I was seeing with their math skills (specifically showing how they solve problems and the matching proofs) I'm now seeing with literacy. Exciting!!!" ~1st **Grade Teacher** (whose students had participated in our robotics program in kindergarten) 62% of our participants had fully/almost fully developed mouse use skills; 17% had moderate mouse use skills; 9%



- could not effectively use a mouse; 13% did not participate in the assessment The average length of participation in the program
- The average length of participation in the program was 17.8 days (SD = 5.7).

For the purpose of our assessment we used one story from each category for the pretest and one story from each category for the posttest to ensure we had a test of equal difficulty for both the pre-test and post-test assessments.

The cards were presented according to the standardized procedure: the children were tasked with putting 4 cards, containing drawings, in order to make a complete story. The first card was given to the child. A correct story earned 2 points, correct first and last picture earned 1 point for a total of 10 possible points.

Future Directions

- In-classroom lessons & assessment (public & private)
- Sequencing assessment with more room for change
- Larger Control Groups (this study, n= 7, no change)

Significance

This study demonstrates the potential of integrating robotics

and computer programming into early childhood learning experiences. Children as young as 4.5 in this study learned to program a robot to complete a variety of challenges and simultaneously improved his/her score on a sequencing assessment.



The TangibleK Project is supported by National Science Foundation Grant #DRL-0735657. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

Kazakoff, E.R. & Bers, M.U. 2011Email: devtech@tufts.edu Web: http://ase.tufts.edu/devtechAERA 2011