#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension:

Bend-and Break

## Lecture 2: Rational curves and the canonical divisor

Brian Lehmann Boston College

PRIMA 2021

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and Break

# Introduction

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

## Guiding principle

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and Break Recall from last time that the canonical line bundle of a smooth projective variety X is

$$\omega_X = \bigwedge^{\dim X} \Omega_X$$

and the canonical divisor  $K_X$  is any divisor representing  $\omega_X$ .

### Principle

The geometry/arithmetic of a smooth projective variety X over a field is controlled by the positivity of  $K_X$ .

We will discuss this principle in the context of rational curves. We work over the ground field  $\mathbb C$  unless otherwise specified.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Guiding principle

#### Introduction

Low dimension

High dimension

Bend-and-Break There are different ways of interpreting the "positivity" of a divisor. To start with we will focus on the three types of "pure" positivity:

negative	torsion	positive
$-K_X$ ample	a multiple of $K_X$ is 0	$K_X$ ample

Of course, most projective varieties will not have one of these three "pure" curvature types. However, the Minimal Model Program predicts that any smooth projective variety can be decomposed into a sequence of fibrations whose fibers have "pure" type.

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension:

Bend-and-Break

## Low dimensions

## Curves

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and-Break Let's start by analyzing our guiding principle when X is a curve. The basic invariant for classifying curves is the genus, but for our purposes it is better to use (the negative of) the Euler characteristic

$$\deg(K_X)=2g(C)-2.$$

With this definition it becomes clear that there is a trichotomy of curves:

$\deg(K_X)$	< 0	= 0	> 0
genus	0	1	$\geq 2$
$\operatorname{Mor}(\mathbb{P}^1,X)_d$	open subset of $\mathbb{P}^{2d+1}$	empty	empty

Note that this same trichotomy occurs in other areas of mathematics as well (Riemann Uniformization Theorem, behavior of rational points, etc.).

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensior

Bend-and-Break We next consider the case when X is a surface. We analyze the behavior of rational curves separately for surfaces with the three types of positivity for the canonical divisor.

A surface X with  $-K_X$  ample is known as a del Pezzo surface. These surfaces have been completely classified: with the exception of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , a del Pezzo surface is the blow-up of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  along at most 8 points in general position. In particular, each del Pezzo surface is birationally equivalent to  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

We can find rational curves through any general point of X by taking the strict transforms of rational curves on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . We conclude that a del Pezzo surface X is uniruled.

#### Introduction

#### Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and-Break In the Kodaira-Enriques classification there are four types of surface with  ${\cal K}_{X}$  torsion.

### 1) Abelian surfaces.

An abelian surface cannot contain any rational curves. Consider any morphism  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$  and its differential  $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to f^* T_X$ . We have  $T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$  and (since an abelian surface has trivial tangent bundle)  $f^* T_X \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 2}$ . Thus the map on tangent bundles is the zero map and f contracts  $\mathbb{P}^1$  to a point.

### 2) Hyperelliptic surfaces.

A hyperelliptic surface cannot contain any rational curves. The Albanese map  $alb: X \to B$  maps X to an elliptic curve and the fibers of alb are irreducible curves of genus  $\geq 1$ .

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and Break 3) K3 surfaces.

A K3 surface X can contain a rational curve. (For example, a quartic surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  can contain a line.) But X is not uniruled: for any non-trivial  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$  the pullback  $f^* T_X$  is a rank 2 bundle of degree 0. Since  $f^* T_X$  must admit a non-zero map from  $\mathcal{O}(2)$ , it also must have a negative summand.

In fact much more is true:

Theorem (Chen-Gounelas-Liedtke)

Every complex K3 surface contains infinitely many non-free rational curves.

4) Enriques surfaces.

Every Enriques surface is a quotient of a K3 surface and so has similar behavior.

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensions

Bend-and-Break Finally, we consider the case when  $K_X$  is ample.

### Conjecture (Algebraic hyperbolicity)

A smooth projective surface with  $K_X$  ample will have only finitely many rational curves.

This conjecture has been verified in some cases. For example, one of the early results is:

### Theorem (Clemens)

A very general surface of degree  $\geq 5$  in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  contains no rational curves.

Despite some fantastic partial progress, the conjecture remains open in general.

Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensions

Bend-and-Break

# High dimensions

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensions

Bend-and-Break When X is a smooth projective variety of dimension  $\geq$  3 the picture is similar:

$-K_X$ ample	$K_X$ torsion	$K_X$ ample
Thm: (Mori) X is uniruled.	"inbetween"	Conj: The rational curves are contained in a proper Zariski closed subset of X.

Here "inbetween" covers a range of possibilities: X might admit no rational curves at all (abelian variety) or could admit infinitely many rational curves (K3 surface). However we will soon show that if  $K_X$  is torsion then X cannot be uniruled. Thus the rational curves on X sweep out at most a countable union of proper closed subvarieties of X.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

#### Introduction

Low dimension

High dimensions

Bend-and-Break Before moving on, we discuss one more notion of "positivity" for the canonical divisor. This notion is based around the behavior of sections of the canonical divisor.

### Definition

Let X be a smooth projective variety. If  $H^0(X, mK_X) = 0$  for every m > 0, we say that X has Kodaira dimension  $-\infty$ . Otherwise, we define the Kodaira dimension to be the smallest non-negative integer r such that

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty}\frac{h^0(X,mK_X)}{m^r}<\infty.$$

One can show that the Kodaira dimension of X takes values in the set  $\{-\infty, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \dim(X)\}$ . If  $K_X$  is ample, torsion, or antiample then  $\kappa(X) = \dim(X), 0, -\infty$  respectively.

(日) (四) (注) (注) (正)

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensions

Bend-and Break

#### Proposition

If X is uniruled then  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ .

**Proof:** Suppose for a contradiction that  $H^0(X, mK_X) \neq 0$  for some m > 0. Since X is uniruled, we can find a free rational curve  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to C \subset X$  and a section  $D \in |mK_X|$  such that  $D|_C$  does not vanish. In particular  $\deg(f^*K_X) \geq 0$ .

Consider now the vector bundle  $f^* T_X$  of rank dim(X) on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . The calculation above shows that deg $(f^* T_X) \leq 0$ . Since f is free, this must imply that  $f^* T_X = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus \dim(X)}$ . However, since f does not contract  $\mathbb{P}^1$  to a point there should also be a non-zero map  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) = T_{\mathbb{P}^1} \to f^* T_X$ , yielding a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensions

Bend-and-Break Conversely, the Kodaira dimension should predict the behavior of rational curves. On one extreme, we have:

### Conjecture

If  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$  then X is uniruled.

On the other extreme, we have:

#### Conjecture

If  $\kappa(X) = \dim(X)$  then there is a proper closed subset of X which contains all the rational curves on X.

This is a birational version of the algebraic hyperbolicity conjecture for rational curves.

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension:

Bend-and-Break

## $\mathsf{Bend}\text{-}\mathsf{and}\text{-}\mathsf{Break}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ ─臣 ─のへで

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensior

Bend-and-Break For the rest of the lecture, we will focus on Mori's result: a smooth complex variety with  $-K_X$  ample is uniruled. In fact, we will sketch the proof of a stronger theorem:

#### Theorem (Mori)

Let X be a smooth projective variety. Suppose that C is a curve in X satisfying  $K_X \cdot C < 0$ . Then there is a rational curve in X through every point of C.

This immediately implies the desired result for varieties with  $-K_X$  ample.

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensior

Bend-and-Break In order to prove this theorem, we will need to understand the space of morphisms Mor(B, X) where B is a smooth projective curve of arbitrary genus. Fortunately, the situation is exactly the same:

- Mor(B, X) can be constructed as a subscheme of  $Hilb(B \times X)$  and thus admits a universal family.
- Given a morphism  $f : B \to X$ , the tangent space to the morphism scheme at f is  $H^0(B, f^*T_X)$ .
- The expected dimension

$$\chi(f^*T_X) = -K_X \cdot f_*B + (1 - g(B))\dim(X)$$

gives a lower bound for the dimension of Mor(B, X) near f.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensior

Bend-and-Break We will also need a slight modification: we will consider morphisms  $f : B \to X$  which send a fixed point in B to a fixed point in X.

Suppose we fix a map  $f : B \to X$  and a point  $p \in B$ . We denote by  $Mor(B, X; f|_p)$  the sublocus of maps  $g \in Mor(B, X)$  such that g(p) = f(p). We will also need to analyze the tangent space of this subscheme:

- Given a morphism  $f : B \to X$  and a point  $p \in B$ , the tangent space to  $Mor(B, X; f|_p)$  at f is  $H^0(B, f^*T_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-p))$ .
- The expected dimension

$$\chi(f^*T_X\otimes \mathcal{O}_B(-p))=-K_X\cdot f_*B-g(B)\cdot \dim(X)$$

gives a lower bound for the dimension of  $Mor(B, X; f|_p)$  near f.

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and-Break

### Theorem (Mori's Bend-and-Break)

Let X be a smooth projective variety and let B be a smooth projective curve of genus  $\geq 1$ . Fix a non-trivial map  $f : B \to X$  and a point  $p \in B$  and suppose we have a curve  $T \subset Mor(B, X; f|_p)$  containing f. Then there is a rational curve through f(p) in X.

**Proof:** Let  $T' \to T$  denote the normalization and let U' denote the base-change of the universal family to T'. Thus  $U' \cong B \times T'$  and we have a map  $ev : U' \to X$  that contracts the section  $\{p\} \times T'$ .

We next compactify: we let  $\overline{T}$  denote a smooth projective curve containing T' and let  $\overline{U}$  denote  $B \times \overline{T}$ . We now have a rational map  $ev : \overline{U} \dashrightarrow X$ .

Introduction

Low dimension

High dimension

Bend-and-Break The next step is to appeal to:

**Rigidity Lemma:** Suppose that  $ev : B \times \overline{T} \dashrightarrow X$  is well-defined at every point of the section  $\{p\} \times \overline{T}$  and contracts this section to a point. Then ev factors through the projection map to B.

**Proof of lemma:** A projective curve is contracted by ev if and only if it has vanishing intersection against the pullback of an ample divisor on X. But this is a numerical property; if it is true for one section, it will be true for all of them.

Since by assumption T parametrizes a family of morphisms which vary in moduli, we see that  $ev : B \times \overline{T} \dashrightarrow X$  must fail to be defined at some point (p, t).

#### Introduction

Low dimension

High dimensio

Bend-and-Break The last step is to appeal to the birational geometry of surfaces.

We know that the rational map ev can be resolved. That is, there is a birational map  $\phi: S \to B \times \overline{T}$  obtained by a sequence of point blow-ups and a morphism  $ev_S: S \to X$  which agrees with ev on the common locus of definition.

Consider the fiber of  $\phi$  over (p, t); this is a union of rational curves on S. Not all of these curves can be contracted by  $ev_S$ ; if they were, then our original map ev would have been defined at (p, t). Furthermore, the image of this fiber must intersect the image of the strict transform in S of  $\{p\} \times \overline{T}$ . Altogether, we see that at least one of the rational curves in the fiber of  $\phi$  over (p, t) must survive on X and go through f(p).  $\Box$ 

Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and-Break



Figure 4: The 1-cycle  $f_{\ast}C$  degenerates to a 1-cycle with a rational component e(E).

Picture from Debarre, "Bend and Break"

2

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensio

Bend-and-Break There is also a Bend-and-Break theorem for rational curves.

Given a morphism  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$  and two different points  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , we denote by  $Mor(\mathbb{P}^1, X; f|_{p,q})$  the set of morphisms  $g : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$  such that g(p) = f(p)and g(q) = f(q).

#### Theorem (Mori's Bend-and-Break)

Let X be a smooth projective variety. Fix a non-trivial map  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \to X$  and points  $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Suppose we have a curve  $T \subset Mor(B, X; f|_{p,q})$  containing f such that the maps parametrized by T sweep out a surface in X. Then the image cycle  $f_*(\mathbb{P}^1)$  deforms to a non-integral curve with rational components which contains f(p) and f(q).



Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and-Break



Figure 5: The rational 1-cycle  $f_*C$  bends and breaks

Picture from Debarre, "Bend and Break"

2

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimension

Bend-and-Break

#### We now return to our original goal:

### Theorem (Mori)

Let X be a smooth projective variety. Suppose that C is a curve in X satisfying  $K_X \cdot C < 0$ . Then there is a rational curve in X through every point of C.

Let  $f: B \to C \subset X$  denote the normalization map. It suffices to consider the case when  $g(B) \ge 1$ . Fix any point  $p \in B$ . If we knew that  $Mor(B, X; f|_p)$  had dimension  $\ge 1$ , then Bend-and-Break would imply the existence of the desired rational curve through p.

Of course, there is no reason to assume that  $\dim(\operatorname{Mor}(B, X; f|_p)) \ge 1$ . In fact the expected dimension

$$-K_X \cdot f_*(B) - g(B) \cdot \dim(X)$$

might be very negative. Mori found an ingenious way around this obstacle by passing to characteristic p.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト 三日

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensio

Bend-and-Break

### Sketch of proof:

### Step 1: spreading out

We can choose an algebra Z that is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that every relevant object in our situation is defined over Z. After possibly shrinking  $\operatorname{Spec}(Z)$ , we can find a smooth map  $\mathcal{X} \to \operatorname{Spec}(Z)$  whose fiber over the generic point is isomorphic to X (after extending the base field). We may also ensure that all our constructions extend over all of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

Thus for every closed point  $z \in \operatorname{Spec}(Z)$  we obtain a fiber  $X_z$  and a curve  $C_z$  satisfying  $K_{X_z} \cdot C_z < 0$ . Note that each such  $X_z$  is defined over a finite field of characteristic p > 0.

#### Sketch of proof:

Bend-and-Break

Step 2: twisting up

Let  $f_z : B_z \to C_z \subset X_z$  denote the normalization map. Fix a point  $p_z \in B_z$  and consider the scheme  $Mor(B_z, X_z; f_z|_{p_z})$ . As remarked earlier, there is no reason to assume that the expected dimension

$$-K_{X_z} \cdot f_{z*}(B_z) - g(B_z) \cdot \dim(X_z)$$

is positive. However, suppose that we now precompose  $f_r$  by r iterates of the Frobenius map for  $B_z$ . If we let  $h_z$  denote the composed map and let p denote the characteristic of the residue field of  $p_z$ , the expected dimension is now

$$p'(-K_{X_z} \cdot f_{z*}(B_z)) - g(B_z) \cdot \dim(X_z)$$

By assumption this will be positive when r is large enough. Applying Bend-and-Break we obtain a rational curve  $Y_z$  through every point of  $C_z$ .

### Sketch of proof:

Bend-and-Break

Step 3: deforming back

For every closed point  $z \in \text{Spec}(Z)$  and every point  $p_z \in C_z$  we have found a rational curve  $Y_z$  through  $p_z$ . We would now like to "deform" these rational curves back to the generic fiber to find a rational curve on our original variety Χ.

If we knew that the rational curves  $Y_z$  were bounded – that is, if they were contained in a finite-type subscheme of the relative Hilbert scheme - then there would have to be a single component of the Hilbert scheme that parametrized the curves for a dense open subset of Spec(Z). By Chevalley's Theorem, the image of this component in Spec(Z) would also contain the generic point. Since the geometric genus is constant in the family, we would obtain the desired rational curve through the point  $p \in C$ .

#### Sketch of proof:

Step 4: breaking down

#### Introduction

Low dimensions

High dimensio

Bend-and-Break Unfortunately Bend-and-Break gives us essentially no control over the rational curves  $Y_z$  we constructed in Step 2. In particular, there is no reason to expect that as we vary the closed point  $z \in \text{Spec}(Z)$  the rational curves  $Y_z$  form a bounded family. In other words, if we fix an ample divisor A on  $\mathcal{X}$  then the degrees of the  $Y_z$  against A could be unbounded.

Fortunately, Bend-and-Break comes to our rescue again. If the A-degree of  $Y_z$  is large enough then the deformation space of  $Y_z$  through the point  $p_z$  has dimension  $> \dim(X) + 1$ . In particular, we can find a curve in the moduli space parametrizing deformations of  $Y_z$  through  $p_z$  and through some other fixed point. Applying the rational curve version of Bend-and-Break, we find a different rational curve  $Y'_z$  through  $p_z$  of smaller A-degree. Arguing inductively, we eventually find a bounded family of rational curves  $Y_z$  which allow us to conclude by the previous step.