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## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ be a proper, normal algebraic variety of dimension $n$ over a field $K$ and $D$ an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$. The Hilbert function of $D$ is the function

$$
\mathcal{H}(X, D): m \mapsto h^{0}(m D):=\operatorname{dim}_{K} H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(\lfloor m D\rfloor)\right) ;
$$

defined for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. If $D$ is an ample Cartier divisor then $\mathcal{H}(X, D)$ agrees with the usual Hilbert polynomial whenever $m \gg 1$ is an integer, but in general $\mathcal{H}(X, D)$ is not a polynomial, not even if $D$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The simplest numerical invariant associated to the Hilbert function is the volume of $D$, defined as

$$
\operatorname{vol}(D):=\limsup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^{0}(m D)}{m^{n} / n!} .
$$

If $E$ is an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{0}(m D-m E) \leq h^{0}(m D) \leq h^{0}(m D+m E) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for every $m>0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}(D-E) \leq \operatorname{vol}(D) \leq \operatorname{vol}(D+E) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if equality holds in $(*)$ for every $m \gg 1$ then equality holds in $(* *)$. The aim of this note is to prove the converse for big divisors, that is, when $\operatorname{vol}(D)>0$. Although the volume does not determine the Hilbert function, we prove that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{H}(X, D) \equiv \mathcal{H}(X, D-E) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{vol}(D-E) \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{H}(X, D) \equiv \mathcal{H}(X, D+E) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{vol}(D+E) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a byproduct of the proof we also obtain a characterization of such divisors $E$ in terms of the negative part $N_{\sigma}(D)$ of the Zariski-Nakayama-decomposition (also called $\sigma$-decomposition) and of the divisorial part of the augmented base locus $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$; see (Nak04, (4.3.1) and (5.1) for definitions.

Another interesting consequence is that the answer depends only on the $\mathbb{R}$-linear equivalence class of $D$. This is obvious for $\mathbb{Z}$-linear equivalence, but it can easily happen that $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$ yet $h^{0}(X, m D) \neq h^{0}\left(X, m D^{\prime}\right)$ for every $m>0$; see (2.6). In fact, the only relationship between $\mathcal{H}(X, D)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(X, D^{\prime}\right)$ that we know of is $\operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{vol}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$.

Our main results are the following.
Theorem A. Let $X$ be a proper, normal algebraic variety over a perfect field, $D$ a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$ and $E$ an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$. Then the following are equivalent.
i) $\operatorname{vol}(D-E)=\operatorname{vol}(D)$.
ii) $E \leq N_{\sigma}(D)$.
iii) $h^{0}\left(m D^{\prime}-m E\right)=h^{0}\left(m D^{\prime}\right)$ for every $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$ and all $m>0$.
iv) $h^{0}(m D-m E)=h^{0}(m D)$ for all $m>0$.

Furthermore, if $D$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier and nef then these are also equivalent to
v) $E=0$.

Theorem B. Let $X$ be a proper, normal algebraic variety over a perfect field, $D$ a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$ and $E$ an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$. Then the following are equivalent.
i) $\operatorname{vol}(D+E)=\operatorname{vol}(D)$.
ii) $\operatorname{Supp}(E) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$.
iii) $h^{0}\left(m D^{\prime}+r E\right)=h^{0}\left(m D^{\prime}\right)$ for every $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$ and $m, r>0$.
iv) $h^{0}(m D+m E)=h^{0}(m D)$ for all $m>0$.

Furthermore, if $D$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier and nef then these are also equivalent to
v) $D^{n-1} \cdot E=0$.

Special cases of these theorems were first conjectured in connection with the numerical stability criteria for familes of canonical models of varieties of general type Kol15. In trying to prove these, we gradually realized that the above results hold and the general setting led to shorter proofs.

The theorems are proved in Section 2 but the necessary technical background results involving $\mathbb{R}$ divisors, the Zariski-Nakayama-decomposition and the augmented base locus on singular varieties are left to Sections 3 through 5 . Much of the relevant literature works with smooth projective varieties over $\mathbb{C}$ but many of these proofs apply in more general settings. We went through them and we state clearly which parts work for normal varieties in any characteristic. We also establish several results that show how to reduce similar types of questions to smooth and projective varieties. These should be useful in similar contexts.
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## 2. Proofs of the Theorems

Proposition 2.1. Let $X$ be a normal proper variety over an algebraically closed field and $D$ a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Suppose that $D=P+N$ with $\operatorname{vol}(P)=\operatorname{vol}(D)$ and $N$ effective. Then $N \leq N_{\sigma}(D)$.

The proof is a modification of [FL13, Prop.5.3].
Proof. By Corollary 3.4, we may find a projective birational model $X^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors $D^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime}$ such that for any positive real $m$ the pushforward of $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(m D^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(m P^{\prime}\right)$ are respectively $\mathcal{O}_{X}(m D)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X}(m P)$, and the difference $D^{\prime}-P^{\prime}$ is effective. Note that $D^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime}$ still satisfy the hypotheses of the theorem. If we prove the statement on $X^{\prime}$, we can conclude the statement on $X$ by pushing forward and applying Lemma 4.2. So without loss of generality we may assume that $P$ and $D$, and hence $N$, are $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors and that $X$ is projective.

If $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a generically finite proper morphism from a normal projective variety $Y$, then

$$
\pi_{*} N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)=(\operatorname{deg} \pi) \cdot N_{\sigma}(D)
$$

by Lemma 4.12 ii). Furthermore

$$
\operatorname{vol}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)=(\operatorname{deg} \pi) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(D)
$$

by Theorem 3.5 ii ), the homogeneity of vol, and [Kür06, Prop.2.9.(1)] (the proof there does not use the assumption that the characteristic is zero). Therefore after passing to a nonsingular alteration (cf. [dJ96), it is enough to consider the case when $X$ is nonsingular and projective.

By assumption the volume of $P$ does not change if we add a small multiple of $N$. Thus by [Cut13b, Theorem 5.6] (see also [BFJ09, Thm.A] and [LM09, Cor.C]),

$$
\left\langle P^{n-1}\right\rangle \cdot N=0
$$

where $\left\langle P^{n-1}\right\rangle$ is the positive intersection product defined in Cut13b, inspired by BFJ09] and classical work of Matsusaka ([Mat72, p.1031]; see also [LM75, p.515])

As in the proof of [BFJ09, Thm.4.9], it follows that for any ample $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $A$ on $X$ and any small $\epsilon>0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Supp}(N) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(P-\epsilon A)\right)
$$

(Otherwise from $P=\frac{\epsilon}{2} A+\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} A+P_{\sigma}(P-\epsilon A)\right)+N_{\sigma}(P-\epsilon A)$ we get $P \geq_{N_{i}} \frac{\epsilon}{2} A$ for some component $N_{i}$ of $N$, i.e. $P-\frac{\epsilon}{2} A$ is numerically equivalent to an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor that does not contain $N_{i}$ in its support. Using [BFJ09, Rem.4.5], we see that $\frac{\epsilon^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} A^{n-1} \cdot N_{i} \leq\left.\left\langle P^{n-1}\right\rangle\right|_{N_{i}} \leq\left\langle P^{n-1}\right\rangle \cdot N_{i} \leq\left\langle P^{n-1}\right\rangle \cdot N$, but the LHS is only zero when $N=0$.)

In particular, Lemma 4.13 shows that $N_{\sigma}(P-\epsilon A+N)=N_{\sigma}(P-\epsilon A)+N$. Letting $\epsilon$ tend to 0 and using continuity of $\sigma$ as in Lemma 4.1.iv), we see that $N_{\sigma}(D)=N_{\sigma}(P)+N$.

We reduce our main theorems to the case where the base field is algebraically closed.
Remark 2.2. Let $K$ be a field and $L / K$ a separable field extension. Base change to $L$ is denoted by the subscript $L$. If $X_{K}$ is a proper, normal algebraic variety over $K$ then $X_{L}$ is a disjoint union of proper, normal algebraic varieties over $L$. If $E_{K} \subset X_{K}$ is a prime divisor then $E_{L} \subset X_{L}$ is a sum of prime divisors, each appearing with coefficient 1. Thus if $D_{K}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X_{K}$ then $\left\lfloor D_{K}\right\rfloor_{L}=\left\lfloor D_{L}\right\rfloor$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{K}}\left(D_{K}\right)\right)_{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X_{L}}\left(D_{L}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad h^{0}\left(D_{K}\right)=h^{0}\left(D_{L}\right) \tag{2.2,1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $D_{K}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor then $\left|D_{K}\right|_{L}=\left|D_{L}\right|$ and hence the base locus commutes with separable field extensions. Using the characterization given in Lemma 4.1.i) and Lemma 5.3 this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\sigma}\left(D_{L}\right)=\left(N_{\sigma}\left(D_{K}\right)\right)_{L} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{B}_{+}^{\mathrm{div}}\left(D_{L}\right)=\left(\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\mathrm{div}}\left(D_{K}\right)\right)_{L} \tag{2.2,2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(If $X_{K}$ is geometrically normal but $L / K$ is not separable then it can happen that $\left\lfloor D_{K}\right\rfloor_{L} \neq\left\lfloor D_{L}\right\rfloor$. However (2.2,2) still holds.)

If Theorems A and B hold for proper, normal varieties over an algebraically closed field then they clearly also hold for proper, normal, equidimensional schemes over an algebraically closed field. Thus, by the above considerations, they hold for proper, normal varieties over any perfect field.
Proof of Theorem A. By Remark 2.2 we may work over an algebraically closed field. The implications $i i) \rightarrow i i i) \rightarrow i v) \rightarrow i$ are immediate, while $i) \rightarrow i i$ is Proposition 2.1. Any nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $D$ is movable, i.e. $N_{\sigma}(D)=0$. Then the equivalence between $\left.i i\right)$ and $v$ ) is clear.
Remark 2.3. The work of KL15] hints to an approach to Theorem A using the theory of Okounkov bodies.
Remark 2.4. Related cases of Theorem A include:
i) If $D$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then in $i i i$ ) we may set $D^{\prime}$ to be any $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor numerically equivalent to $D$.
ii) If $X$ is nonsingular and projective over an algebraically closed field, if $D$ is big and movable, and $E$ is pseudoeffective (i.e. its numerical class is in the closure of the effective cone), then $\operatorname{vol}(D-E)=\operatorname{vol}(D)$ if and only if $E=0$.
The first statement is a consequence of Lemma 4.1,iv). For the second, by [FL13, Prop.5.3] we get

$$
P_{\sigma}(D-E)+\left(N_{\sigma}(D-E)+E\right) \equiv D=P_{\sigma}(D) \equiv P_{\sigma}(D-E)
$$

Consequently $N_{\sigma}(D-E)+E \equiv 0$. Since the pseudoeffective cone is pointed (e.g. by CHMS13, Lem.2.4]), it follows that $E=0$.
Proof of Theorem B. As in Theorem A, we may work over an algebraically closed field. The implications $i i i) \rightarrow i v) \rightarrow i$ ) are immediate. Part $i i)$ of Theorem A and Lemma 4.13 prove $i) \rightarrow i i i)$.

Assume $\operatorname{Supp}(E) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$. Let $A$ be ample in codimension 1 (cf. Definition 4.7). By Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.2, we have $\operatorname{Supp}(E) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right.$ ) for arbitrarily small $\epsilon>0$. By Lemma 4.13, we see that $\operatorname{vol}(D+E-\epsilon A)=\operatorname{vol}(D-\epsilon A)$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$. If $D, E$ and $A$ are $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier, we can conclude $\operatorname{vol}(D+E)=\operatorname{vol}(D)$ by the continuity of volumes for $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors. To show that $\operatorname{vol}(D+E)=\operatorname{vol}(D)$ in general, we reduce to the $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier case by applying Theorem 3.5. ii) and Corollary 3.4. Hence $i i) \rightarrow i$ ).

Let $F$ be an irreducible component of $E$ and assume $F \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right)$. Then by Lemma 4.9 there exists $m>0$ such that

$$
m D+F=\left(\frac{1}{2} m \epsilon A+F\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2} m \epsilon A+m P_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right)+m N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)
$$

is $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to an effective divisor that does not contain $F$ in its support. In particular $h^{0}\left(m D^{\prime}+r E\right) \geq h^{0}\left(m D^{\prime}+F\right)>h^{0}\left(m D^{\prime}\right)$ for some $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$ and some $r>0$, e.g. $r=\frac{1}{\operatorname{mult}_{F}(E)}$. Therefore $i i i) \rightarrow i i)$.

Suppose now that $D$ is a big and nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism with $Y$ projective. By Lemma 3.3 there exists an effective $\pi$-exceptional divisor $F$ on $Y$ such that $\operatorname{vol}(D+E)=\operatorname{vol}\left(\pi^{*} D+\bar{E}+F\right)$, where $\bar{E}$ is a divisor with $\pi_{*} \bar{E}=E$. We can make choices such that $\bar{E}$ and $F$ are $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors. Of course $\operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{vol}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)$.

If $\operatorname{vol}(D+E)=\operatorname{vol}(D)$, then $\operatorname{vol}\left(\pi^{*} D+\bar{E}+F\right)=\operatorname{vol}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)$. By [Cut13b, Theorem 5.6], we get $\left\langle\pi^{*} D^{n-1}\right\rangle \cdot(\bar{E}+F)=0$. Since $D$ is nef, we have $\left(\pi^{*} D\right)^{n-1}=\left\langle\left(\pi^{*} D\right)^{n-1}\right\rangle$ from Cut13b, Proposition 4.11]. By the projection formula $D^{n-1} \cdot E=0$.

Conversely, if $D^{n-1} \cdot E=\pi^{*} D^{n-1} \cdot(\bar{E}+F)=0$, then Luo90 shows that $h^{0}\left(\pi^{*} D+\bar{E}+F\right)=$ $h^{0}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)$ (the analogous equality also holds for multiples). The proof there is carried out with $\mathbb{Z}$ coefficients and over base fields of characteristic zero, but extends to $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients over arbitrary algebraically closed base fields. We conclude by pushing forward to $X$.

Remark 2.5. As in Theorem A, if $D$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then in $i i i$ ) we may set $D^{\prime}$ to be any $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor numerically equvialent to $D$. In fact even in the $\mathbb{R}$-Weil case we may replace $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$ with $D^{\prime}-D$ being a numerically trivial $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor (cf. Lemma 4.1 iv)).

As mentioned in the introduction, if $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$, there is no clear connection between the Hilbert functions $\mathcal{H}(X, D)$ and $\mathcal{H}\left(X, D^{\prime}\right)$ other than that $\operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{vol}\left(D^{\prime}\right)(\mathrm{cf}$. Theorem 3.5 iv)):
Example 2.6. Let $S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a minimal ruled surface with a negative section $E \subset S$ and a positive section $C \subset S$ that is disjoint from $E$. Let $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{4}$ be distinct fibers. Then

$$
C \sim_{\mathbb{R}} C+\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)+\sqrt{2}\left(F_{3}-F_{4}\right) .
$$

Note that $\left\lfloor m C+m\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)+m \sqrt{2}\left(F_{3}-F_{4}\right)\right\rfloor$ has negative intersection with $E$ for all real $m>0$. This implies that

$$
h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(m C+m\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right)+m \sqrt{2}\left(F_{3}-F_{4}\right)\right)\right)<h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(m C)\right)
$$

for every $m>0$.

## 3. Weil divisors

Let $X$ be a normal variety over a field. The basics of the theory of Weil $\mathbb{R}$-divisors can be found in [Sch10]. An $\mathbb{R}$-divisor (also called Weil $\mathbb{R}$-divisor or $\mathbb{R}$-Weil $\mathbb{R}$-divisor) is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear combination of prime divisors. $D$ is effective, denoted $D \geq 0$, if it is a nonnegative combination of prime divisors on $X$. If $D \geq E$, i.e. $D-E \geq 0$, we say that $D$ dominates $E$. For an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $D$, the rule

$$
U \mapsto H^{0}(U, D):=\left\{f \in K(X)^{*}|(\operatorname{div}(f)+D)|_{U} \geq 0\right\} \cup\{0\}
$$

defines a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ on $X$. This coincides with the classical notation when $D$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ divisor. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)=\mathcal{O}_{X}(\lfloor D\rfloor)$. If $D \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{O}_{X}(-D)$ is an ideal sheaf in $\mathcal{O}_{X}$. If $M$ is a Cartier $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor, then $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D+M) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{X}(M) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(M)$ for any $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $D$.

If $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-divisors such that $D^{\prime}-D=\operatorname{div}(f)$ for some $f \in K(X)$, we say that $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ are linearly equivalent and denote this relation by $D \sim D^{\prime}$ or $D \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} D^{\prime}$. Denote by $|D|$ the complete linear series $\left\{D^{\prime} \mid D^{\prime} \geq 0, D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} D\right\}$. It coincides with $|\lfloor D\rfloor|+\{D\}$, where $\{D\}$ denotes the fractional part of $D$. If $m D \sim m D^{\prime}$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{*}$, we write $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D^{\prime}$. If $D^{\prime}-D=\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, some $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{i} \in K(X)$, we write $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D^{\prime}$. Denote by $|D|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $|D|_{\mathbb{R}}$ the set of effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisors $D^{\prime}$ that are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly and respectively $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to $D$. If $D \sim D^{\prime}$,
then $H^{0}(X, D) \simeq H^{0}\left(X, D^{\prime}\right)$ and if $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D^{\prime}$, then $H^{0}(X, m D) \simeq H^{0}\left(X, m D^{\prime}\right)$ for sufficiently divisible $m$. However, no obvious connection seems to exists between $H^{0}(X, D)$ and $H^{0}\left(X, D^{\prime}\right)$ if $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D^{\prime}$.

An $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $H$ is ample if $H=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(H_{i}+\operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)\right)$, where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$, where $f_{i} \in K(X)$, and $H_{i}$ are effective ample Cartier $\mathbb{Z}$-divisors. Note that an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor is always $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier, and that this definition coincides with the classical one in [Laz04, §2].

Two $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors are numerically equivalent if they have the same intersection against every proper curve in $X$.

We review some of the basic theory of $\mathbb{R}$-divisors. Over $\mathbb{C}$, many of the results in this section appear in [Nak04, §II] or Fuj09.
Lemma 3.1. Let $X$ be a normal variety and $D$ an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Then $D$ is a positive $\mathbb{R}$-linear combination $\sum_{i} a_{i} D_{i}$ of effective Cartier divisors.
Proof. The argument in Fuj09, Lem.0.14] is characteristic free.
Lemma 3.2. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism of normal varieties, and $D$ an $\mathbb{R}$ Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$. Then $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(\pi^{*} D+E\right)=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ for any effective $\pi$-exceptional $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $E$.

Proof. The argument is similar to [Nak04, Lem.2.11]. Let $U \subset X$ be open and $f \in K(X)^{*}$. By the projection formula [Ful84, Prop.2.3.(c)], if $\operatorname{div}_{Y}(f)+\pi^{*} D+E \geq 0$ over $\pi^{-1} U$, then $\operatorname{div}_{X}(f)+D \geq 0$ over $U$. By Lemma 3.1, we see that if $\operatorname{div}_{X}(f)+D \geq 0$ on $U$, then $\operatorname{div}_{Y}(f)+\pi^{*} D \geq 0$ on $\pi^{-1} U$. In particular $\operatorname{div}_{Y}(f)+\pi^{*} D+E \geq 0$ on $\pi^{-1} U$.

The following lemma can be used to reduce many questions involving the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)$ to normal projective varieties.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism of normal varieties and $D_{i}$ a finite collection of $\mathbb{R}$-divisors on $X$. Then there are $\mathbb{R}$-divisors $D_{i}^{Y}$ on $Y$ such that $\pi_{*} D_{i}^{Y}=D_{i}$ for every i and

$$
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(F+\pi^{*} M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}^{Y}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}\right)
$$

for every $m_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, effective $\pi$-exceptional $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $F$ on $Y$, and $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $M$ on $X$.
Proof. We may assume that either $D_{i}$ or $-D_{i}$ is a prime divisor for each $i$.
If the statement is true for $F=0$, then it is true for every $F \geq 0$, so we assume that $F=0$ throughout. Note that the question is local on $X$ - if we prove the statement locally, we can take coefficientwise maximums to glue the $D_{i}^{Y}$ 's from different open subsets - so we may also assume that $X$ is affine. Let $E$ be an effective Weil divisor whose support is the divisorial component of the exceptional locus of $\pi$. For $D$ an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$, and $\bar{D}$ an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $Y$ with $\pi_{*} \bar{D}=D$, we have $\mathcal{O}_{X}(D)=\bigcup_{r \geq 0} \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{D}+r E)$. Then by coherence there exists $r_{D}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(\bar{D}+r E)=\mathcal{O}_{X}(D) \text { for all } r \geq r_{D} \tag{3.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi$ be a regular function on $X$ such that $L:=\operatorname{div}_{X}(\phi) \geq D_{i}$ for all $i$. Let $\bar{D}_{i}$ be $\mathbb{R}$-divisors on $Y$ such that $\pi_{*} \bar{D}_{i}=D_{i}$. For any $r \geq 0$, we have $\bar{D}_{i}+r E \leq E_{i}^{\prime}+\pi^{*} L$ for some effective $\pi$-exceptional $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $E_{i}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.2, any global section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(\sum_{i} m_{i} \bar{D}_{i}+r E\right)$ for any $r \geq 0$ is also a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\left(\sum_{i} m_{i}\right) L\right)$. Thus the poles along $E$ of rational functions that are sections of $\sum_{i} m_{i} \bar{D}_{i}+r E$ are bounded below by $-\left(\sum_{i} m_{i}\right) \pi^{*} L$. This implies that there exists $r>0$ such that $H^{0}\left(Y, \sum_{i} m_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{i}+(r+t) E\right)\right)$ is independent of $t \geq 0$ for each $m_{i} \geq 0$. In particular it is equal to $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)$ by (3.3.1). Since $X$ is affine, this implies $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(\sum_{i} m_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{i}+r E\right)\right)=$ $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}\right)$. Set $D_{i}^{Y}:=\bar{D}_{i}+r E$.

We now show that if $M$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$, then $\pi^{*} M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}^{Y} \geq 0$ if and only if $M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i} \geq 0$. Up to replacing $M$ by $M+\operatorname{div}_{X}(f)$, this completes the proof. One implication
is clear by the projection formula. Assume now $M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i} \geq 0$. If $M$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, then $u M$ is a Cartier divisor for some positive integer $u$, and by the projection formula,

$$
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(\pi^{*}(u M)+\sum_{i}\left(u m_{i}\right) D_{i}^{Y}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{X}(u M) \otimes \pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(\sum_{i}\left(u m_{i}\right) D_{i}^{Y}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(u\left(M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)
$$

for all $m_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Thus if 1 is a section of $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(u\left(M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}\right)\right)$, then it is also a section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(u\left(\pi^{*} M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}^{Y}\right)\right)$.

Assume now $M=\sum_{j} a_{j} M_{j}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-combination of Cartier divisors, with $M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i} \geq 0$. Recall that either $D_{i}$ or $-D_{i}$ is prime by assumption. As a condition on the $m_{i}$ 's and $a_{j}$ 's, the effectivity of $M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}$ is a system of linear inequalities with integer coefficients. Any of its real solutions can be approximated arbitrarily close by rational solutions. We conclude from the case when $M$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor by taking limits coefficientwise.

The following corollary allows us to reduce questions about $\mathbb{R}$-divisors to $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors.
Corollary 3.4. Let $D_{i}$ be a finite set of $\mathbb{R}$-divisors on a normal variety $X$. Then there exist $a$ quasiprojective, normal variety $Y$, a proper birational morphism $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ and $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$ divisors $D_{i}^{Y}$ on $Y$ such that $\pi_{*} D_{i}^{Y}=D_{i}$ and

$$
\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(G+\pi^{*} M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}^{Y}\right)=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(M+\sum_{i} m_{i} D_{i}\right)
$$

holds for all $m_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, all effective $\pi$-exceptional $\mathbb{R}$-divisors $G$ on $Y$ and all $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors $M$ on $X$.

Proof. We may assume that $D_{i}$ or $-D_{i}$ is a prime divisor for each $i$. Successively normalize the blow-up of the birational transform of each $D_{i}$, obtaining a birational morphism $f: Z \rightarrow X$ with $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors $D_{i}^{\prime}$ such that $f_{*} D_{i}^{\prime}=D_{i}$. Let $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be the normalized blow-up of the exceptional locus of $f$. Let $\pi=f \circ g$. Then $\bar{D}_{i}:=g^{*} D_{i}^{\prime}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\pi_{*} \bar{D}_{i}=D_{i}$. The relative $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ for $g$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(F)$ for an effective Cartier divisor $F$ whose support is the exceptional locus of $\pi$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, for $r \gg 0$, we may set $D_{i}^{Y}:=\bar{D}_{i}+r F$. To obtain $Y$ quasiprojective, apply Chow's Lemma and normalize.

We have defined $\operatorname{vol}(D):=\lim \sup _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^{0}(m D)}{m^{n} / n!}$. For $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-classes on projective varieties, this definition of volume differs from the classical one (cf. [Laz04, Cor.2.2.45]). The definitions coincide for $\mathbb{Z}$-classes but in Laz04] the volume of $\mathbb{Q}$-classes is defined by homogeneous extension from $\mathbb{Z}$ and for $\mathbb{R}$-classes it is given by continuous extension from $\mathbb{Q}$. We check that the definitions in fact agree. We also check that we can replace limsup by lim.

Theorem 3.5. Let $D$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a proper normal variety $X$ of dimension $n$. Then
i) $\operatorname{vol}(D)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^{0}(m D)}{m^{n} / n!}$.
ii) If $D$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then $\operatorname{vol}(D)$ agrees with the definition in Laz04, Cor.2.2.45].
iii) (Kodaira lemma) $\operatorname{vol}(D)>0$ if and only if for every $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $B$ there exists $\epsilon>0$ and an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $C$ such that $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \epsilon \cdot B+C$.
iv) If $D^{\prime}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$ such that $D^{\prime}-D$ is a numerically trivial $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then $\operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{vol}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$.

Most of the references used in the proof work over $\mathbb{C}$. [Cut13b, §2.2] and the references therein explain how to extend these to arbitrary fields.

Proof. We start by proving $i$ ). By Corollary 3.4, we may assume that $X$ is projective and $D$ (hence also $D^{\prime}$ ) and $B$ are $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisors. Then there exists an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor $H$ with $D \leq H$. Hence $H^{0}(X, m D)$ is a graded linear series. If $\operatorname{vol}(D)=0$, then the limit is also zero. Otherwise by [Cut13a, Thm.1.2] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}(D)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h^{0}\left(m \cdot m_{0} D\right)}{\left(m \cdot m_{0}\right)^{n} / n!}<\infty \tag{3.5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{0}=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid h^{0}(m D) \neq 0\right\}$. We will finish the proof later on by showing that $m_{0}=1$.
For now we prove $i i$ ) and $i i i$ ). Provisionally denote by $\operatorname{Vol}(D)$ the volume of the $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$ divisor $D$ in the sense of Laz04, Cor.2.2.45]. From (3.5.1) we see that vol is also homogeneous, so that for a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D$ we have $\operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{Vol}(D)$.

We first show that if $\operatorname{vol}(D)>0$, then $\operatorname{vol}(D)=\operatorname{Vol}(D)$. By homogeneity we may assume $h^{0}(D)>0$. Then $D=E+\operatorname{div}(f)$ for some effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $E$ and for some rational function $f$ on $X$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $E=\sum_{i} a_{i} E_{i}$ for some positive $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ and effective Cartier $\mathbb{Z}$-divisors $E_{i}$. Then

$$
\frac{1}{m^{n}} \operatorname{vol}\left(\sum_{i}\left\lfloor m a_{i}\right\rfloor E_{i}+\operatorname{div}\left(f^{m}\right)\right) \leq \operatorname{vol}(D) \leq \frac{1}{m^{n}} \operatorname{vol}\left(\sum_{i}\left\lceil m a_{i}\right\rceil E_{i}+\operatorname{div}\left(f^{m}\right)\right)
$$

The LHS and RHS both converge to $\operatorname{Vol}(D)$ as $m$ grows. Furthermore if $\operatorname{vol}(D)>0$, then $\sum_{i}\left\lfloor m a_{i}\right\rfloor E_{i}+\operatorname{div}\left(f^{m}\right)$ is a big Cartier $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor for large enough $m$, hence it dominates some ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor by Kodaira's Lemma (cf. [Laz04, Cor.2.2.7]).

It remains to show that if $\operatorname{Vol}(D)>0$, then $\operatorname{vol}(D)>0$. First observe that if $\operatorname{Vol}(D)>0$, then $D$ is big in the sense of Laz04, $\S 2.2 . B]$, i.e. $D$ dominates an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Indeed by continuity (cf. LLaz04, Cor.2.2.45]) there exists a small ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $H$ such that $D-H$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor with $\operatorname{Vol}(D-H)>0$. Then the claim follows from Kodaira's Lemma. We can write

$$
D=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(H_{i}+\operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)\right)+\sum_{j} b_{j} E_{j},
$$

where $H_{i}$ are ample effective $\mathbb{Z}$-divisors, $f_{i}$ are rational functions, $E_{j}$ are effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Z}$ divisors, $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are positive real numbers. Let $F$ be the union of the supports of $\operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)$. There exists a real number $N>0$ such that $\left\{m a_{i}\right\} \operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)>-N \cdot F$ for all $i$ and all $m$. Furthermore there exists a positive integer $r$ such that for each $i$ the Weil divisor $r H_{i}-N \cdot F$ has a section given by some rational function $g_{i}$. In particular

$$
r H_{i}+\left\{m a_{i}\right\} \operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)+\operatorname{div}\left(g_{i}\right)>0 .
$$

Then

$$
m D>\sum_{i}\left(\left(\left\lfloor m a_{i}\right\rfloor-r\right) H_{i}+\left\lfloor m a_{i}\right\rfloor \operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(g_{i}\right)\right)+\sum_{j}\left\lfloor m b_{j}\right\rfloor E_{j} .
$$

The RHS is an effective big Cartier $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor for $m$ sufficiently large, therefore $\operatorname{vol}(D)>0$. The proof of $i i$ ) is complete. have showed that if $D$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, and $\operatorname{Vol}(D)=\operatorname{vol}(D)>0$, then $m D$ is effective for $m$ large enough. This proves that $m_{0}=1$, and completes the proof of $i$ ).

The forward implication of $i i i$ ) follows easily from the projective and $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier case by applying Corollary 3.4. Conversely, if we choose $B$ a big effective Cartier divisor, then we can write $\epsilon B=$ $\epsilon_{0} B+B^{\prime}$ for some rational $\epsilon_{0}<\epsilon$ and some effective $B^{\prime}$. The equality $D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \epsilon_{0} B+B^{\prime}+C$ easily implies the bigness of $D$.

Similarly, to show part $i v$ ) we may apply Corollary 3.4 to reduce to the projective normal case and to assume that $D$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. The volume function Vol is defined on the real Néron-Severi space $N^{1}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, and then part $i v$ ) follows.

## 4. Divisorial Zariski decompositions

Let $X$ be a normal proper variety over a field $K$. Let $D$ be a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Following Nakayama ( Nak04 $)$, for $\Gamma$ a prime divisor on $X$ we define

$$
\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)=\inf \left\{\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma} D^{\prime} \mid D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D, D^{\prime} \geq 0\right\}
$$

where we write $D \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D^{\prime}$ if there exist rational functions $f_{i}$ on $X$ and real numbers $a_{i}$ such that $D-D^{\prime}=\sum_{i} a_{i} \cdot \operatorname{div}\left(f_{i}\right)$. The basic properties of $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)$ are studied by Nak04 for smooth projective varieties in characteristic 0, and by [Mus13], CHMS13] for smooth projective varieties in arbitrary characteristic. We make the brief verifications necessary to extend these results to normal proper varieties as well. We start with the projective case.

Lemma 4.1. Let $X$ be a normal projective variety and $D$ a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Fix a prime divisor $\Gamma$.
i) We also have $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)=\inf \left\{\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma} D^{\prime} \mid D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D, D^{\prime} \geq 0\right\}$ and

$$
\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \min \left\{\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma} D^{\prime \prime} \mid D^{\prime \prime} \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} m D, D^{\prime \prime} \geq 0\right\}
$$

ii) Let $A$ be an ample $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Then $\lim _{\epsilon} \searrow_{0} \sigma_{\Gamma}(D+\epsilon A)=\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)$.
iii) The $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $F:=D-\sigma_{\Gamma}(D) \Gamma$ has $\sigma_{\Gamma}(F)=0$ and $\sigma_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(F)=\sigma_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(D)$ for any other prime divisor $\Gamma^{\prime}$. Furthermore the natural inclusion $H^{0}(X, m F) \hookrightarrow H^{0}(X, m D)$ is an equality for any positive real number $m$.
iv) If $L$ is a numerically trivial $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor then $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D+L)=\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)$. The induced function $\sigma_{\Gamma}: N^{1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ sending a numerical class $\alpha \in N^{1}(X)$ to $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D+\alpha)$ is continuous in a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0 .
Proof. The proofs are analogous to [Nak04, Lem.III.1.4] and [Nak04, Lem.III.1.7].
We can usually reduce questions involving $\sigma_{\Gamma}$ to the projective case by using Lemma 3.3 and the following:
Lemma 4.2. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a birational morphism of normal, proper varieties. Suppose that $D$ is a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$. Assume that one of the following holds:
i) There exists a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $L$ on $Y$ with $\pi_{*} L=D$ such that for every $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $M$ on $X$ the condition $D+M \geq 0$ holds iff $L+\pi^{*} M \geq 0$.
ii) $X$ and $Y$ are projective and there exists a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $L$ on $Y$ such that $\pi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(m L)=\mathcal{O}_{X}(m D)$ for all integers $m \geq 0$.
Then for any prime divisor $\Gamma$ on $X$ we have $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)=\sigma_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(L)$ where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is the birational transform of $\Gamma$ on $Y$.
Proof. By letting $M$ range through the $\mathbb{R}$-linearly trivial divisors on $X$ we immediately obtain $i$ ). Part $i i$ ) is a consequence of Lemma $4.1 i)$ and the fact that $\pi_{*}$ induces an equality of global sections for sheaves.
Remark 4.3. Let $X$ be a normal proper variety. Suppose that $D$ is a big $\mathbb{R}$-Weil $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$. Then there are at most finitely many prime divisors $\Gamma$ such that $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D)>0\left(\right.$ since $0 \leq \sigma_{\Gamma}(D) \leq$ $\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ for any fixed effective $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} D$.)

We can now define

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\sigma}(D)=\sum_{\Gamma \text { prime divisor on } X} \sigma_{\Gamma}(D) \cdot \Gamma \quad \text { and } \quad P_{\sigma}(D)=D-N_{\sigma}(\Gamma) . \tag{4.3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call the decomposition $D=P_{\sigma}(D)+N_{\sigma}(D)$ the divisorial Zariski decomposition of $D$.
Definition 4.4. We say that a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $D$ is movable if $N_{\sigma}(D)=0$ or equivalently $D=P_{\sigma}(D)$.
Remark 4.5. Let $D$ be a big, movable $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a normal proper variety $X$. Let $D^{\prime} \sim_{\mathbb{R}}$ $D$ with $D^{\prime} \geq 0$. Then $D^{\prime}=P_{\sigma}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ is the componentwise limit of the divisors $D_{m}^{\prime}:=D^{\prime}-$ $\frac{1}{m} \min \left\{\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma} D^{\prime \prime} \mid D^{\prime \prime} \sim_{\mathbb{Z}} m D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime} \geq 0\right\}$. (This is Lemma 4.1 $i$ ) when $X$ is projective, and we can reduce to this case via Lemma $\boxed{4.2}, i)$.) Observe that $\left|m D_{m}^{\prime}\right|$ is a linear series without fixed divisorial components for large $m$. In this sense, we understand movable $\mathbb{R}$-divisors as limits of divisors moving in linear series without fixed divisorial components.
Remark 4.6. If $D$ is a big and nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a proper normal variety $X$, then $D$ is movable. (Indeed, we can find a birational map $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ from a projective normal variety $Y$ and the pullback $\pi^{*} D$ satisfies the hypotheses for $L$ in Lemma 4.2 i ). Applying the result of the lemma, we can conclude by Lemma 4.1.ii).)
Definition 4.7. Let $X$ be a normal variety. An $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $A$ is ample in codimension 1 if there exists a closed subset $Z \subset X$ of codimension at least 2 such that $\left.A\right|_{X \backslash Z}$ is an ample $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor.

It is clear that a divisor which is ample in codimension 1 is $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to an effective divisor. We will prove in Lemma 4.10.iv) that if $X$ is a proper normal variety, then a divisor which is ample in codimension 1 on $X$ is also big.

The following lemma shows that all normal varieties admit divisors which are ample in codimension 1.

Lemma 4.8. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper, generically finite, dominant morphism of normal varieties, and $A$ an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $Y$ that is ample in codimension 1. Then $\pi_{*} A$ is ample in codimension 1.

Proof. By removing a suitable subset of codimension 2 from $X$ we may assume that $\pi$ is finite flat and $A$ is ample on $Y$. Write $A \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i} r_{i} A_{i}$ where $A_{i}$ is ample Cartier and $r_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that each $\pi_{*} A_{i}$ is Cartier in codimension 1, so that by shrinking $Y$ and $X$ further we may assume $\pi_{*} A_{i}$ is also Cartier. By [Ful84, Proposition 1.4.(b)], cycle pushforwards respect linear equivalence. Gro61, Corollaire 6.6.2] shows that the pushforward of an ample Cartier divisor on $Y$ is again ample on $X$, and we conclude that $\pi_{*} A \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i} r_{i} \pi_{*} A_{i}$ is ample.

Lemma 4.9. Let $X$ be a normal proper variety over a field, $\Gamma$ a prime divisor, and $A$ an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor that is ample in codimension 1. Then
i) If $E$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then, for $m$ sufficiently large, $E+m A \sim_{\mathbb{R}} B_{m}$, for some $B_{m} \geq 0$ with $\Gamma \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(B_{m}\right)$.
ii) If $P$ is a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\sigma_{\Gamma}(P)=0$, then $P+A \sim_{\mathbb{R}} C$, for some $C \geq 0$ with $\Gamma \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}(C)$.

Proof. For $i$ ), by working over the smooth locus of $X$ we see that $E+m A$ is ample in codimension 1 for $m$ sufficiently large and then the statement is clear.

Let $m$ be as in part $i$ ) for $E=\Gamma$. By the definition of $\sigma_{\Gamma}$, there exists an effective $P_{m} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} P$ such that mult ${ }_{\Gamma}\left(P_{m}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m}$. By $i$ ), we have that $P_{m}+A$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linearly equivalent to an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $C$ without $\Gamma$ in its support.

Lemma 4.10. Let $X$ be a normal proper variety. Then
i) If $D$ is a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then $P_{\sigma}(D)$ is big and movable. If $D$ is effective, then so is $P_{\sigma}(D)$.
ii) If $P$ and $D$ are big $\mathbb{R}$-divisors with $P$ movable and $P \leq D$, then $P \leq P_{\sigma}(D)$.
iii) If $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper generically finite dominant morphism of normal proper varieties and $P$ is a big movable $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $Y$, then $\pi_{*} P$ is also big and movable.
iv) Let $A$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor that is ample in codimension 1. Then for every $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $E$ there exists $\epsilon_{E}>0$ such that $A-\epsilon_{E} E$ is big and movable.

Proof. Part i) is a consequence of Lemma 4.1 iii ) in the projective case, and can be reduced to this case in general by Lemma $4.2, i)$ and Lemma 3.3.

For part $i i$, assume $D=P+N$ with $N$ effective. By Lemma 4.2,i), and Lemma 3.3 we can assume that $X$ is projective. Let $A$ be an effective ample divisor. For all prime divisors $\bar{\Gamma}$ on $X$ we have

$$
\sigma_{\Gamma}(D+\epsilon A) \leq \sigma_{\Gamma}(P)+\sigma_{\Gamma}(N+\epsilon A)=\sigma_{\Gamma}(N+\epsilon A)
$$

By summing over all $\Gamma$ 's we obtain $N_{\sigma}(D+\epsilon A) \leq N_{\sigma}(N+\epsilon A)$, and hence $P_{\sigma}(D+\epsilon A) \geq P$. The continuity property in Lemma 4.1, ii) implies $P_{\sigma}(D) \geq P$.

In $i i i$ ), observe first that any divisor ample in codimension 1 is big. Furthermore, an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor is big if and only if it dominates some divisor ample in codimension 1. From Lemma 4.8 it follows that if $P$ is big, then $\pi_{*} P$ is also big.

To settle the movability of $\pi_{*} P$, by Lemmas 4.1, i), 4.2, i) and Remark 4.5, it is enough to show that if $V$ is a linear series without fixed divisorial components on $Y$, then $\pi_{*} V$ spans a linear series without fixed divisorial components on $X$. By Remark 2.2 we may assume that the base field is infinite. If $\Gamma$ is a prime divisor on $X$, let $\Gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$ be the divisorial components of $\pi^{-1} \Gamma$. If $\pi_{*} V$ spans a linear series with a fixed component $\Gamma$, then $\operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma} Q>\epsilon$ for all $Q \in \pi_{*} V$ and
for some $\epsilon>0$ by the finite dimensionality of $V$. Then $V$ is the union of the proper subspaces $V_{i}=\left\{R \in V \left\lvert\, \operatorname{mult}_{\Gamma_{i}} R>\frac{\epsilon}{r \cdot \operatorname{deg} \pi}\right.\right\}$. This is impossible over an infinite field.

To see iv), consider the open subset $U=X-Z$. By taking a closure under a suitable embedding and normalizing, we find a normal projective variety $X^{\prime}$ containing $U$ as an open subset and an ample $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $A^{\prime}$ on $X^{\prime}$ such that $\left.A^{\prime}\right|_{U}=\left.A\right|_{U}$. Let $E^{\prime}$ be the closure in $X^{\prime}$ of $\left.E\right|_{U}$. By the normality of $X$ and the codimension condition on $Z$ in the definition of ampleness in codimension 1 , for each $m \geq 1$ and $l \geq 1$ we have $H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{\prime}}\left(l\left(m A^{\prime}-E^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \subseteq H^{0}\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X}(l(m A-E))\right)=$ $H^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}(l(m A-E))\right)$. The bigness of $m A-E$ then follows from that of $m A^{\prime}-E^{\prime}$ for $m \gg 0$.

Regarding movability, by the lower convexity of $N_{\sigma}$, it is enough to treat the case when $A$ and $E$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-divisors with $A$ ample Cartier. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X}(m A-E) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X}(-E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(A)^{\otimes m}$ is globally generated for large $m$. In particular the linear series $|m A-E|$ has no fixed components and $N_{\sigma}\left(A-\frac{1}{m} E\right)=0$.

Remark 4.11. When $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ is a finite morphism of normal proper varieties, for every $\mathbb{R}$ divisor $D$ on $X$ we can define $\pi^{*} D$ as the closure in $Y$ of $\pi_{U}^{*} D_{U}$, where $U \subset X$ is the smooth locus, and $\pi_{U}: Y \times_{X} U \rightarrow U$ is the induced finite morphism. Since $\operatorname{codim}(X \backslash U, X) \geq 2$, we see that $\pi^{*}$ respects linear equivalence (with $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{R}$ coefficients).

Lemma 4.12. Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a generically finite morphism of normal proper varieties and $D$ a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on $X$. Then
i) If $\pi$ is finite, then $N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)=\pi^{*} N_{\sigma}(D)$.
ii) If $\pi$ is only generically finite, but $D$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then $\pi_{*} N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)=(\operatorname{deg} \pi)$. $N_{\sigma}(D)$.

Proof. If $\pi$ is finite, then $N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right) \leq \pi^{*} N_{\sigma}(D)$ because $H^{0}\left(Y, \pi^{*} D\right) \supseteq \pi^{*} H^{0}(X, D)$. When $\pi$ is only generically finite and $D$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier, the same argument and the projection formula (cf. [Ful84, Proposition 2.3.(c)]) prove $\pi_{*} N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right) \leq(\operatorname{deg} \pi) \cdot N_{\sigma}(D)$. On the other hand $D=\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg} \pi} \pi_{*} P_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)+$ $\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg} \pi} \pi_{*} N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)$, and $\pi_{*} P_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)$ is big and movable by Lemma 4.10. iii). By Lemma 4.10, ii) it follows that $\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg} \pi} \pi_{*} P_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right) \leq P_{\sigma}(D)$ and hence $\frac{1}{\operatorname{deg} \pi} \pi_{*} N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right) \geq N_{\sigma}(D)$. Therefore in both $i$ ) and $i i$ ),

$$
\pi_{*} N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right)=(\operatorname{deg} \pi) \cdot N_{\sigma}(D)
$$

When $\pi$ is finite, this forces equality in $N_{\sigma}\left(\pi^{*} D\right) \leq \pi^{*} N_{\sigma}(D)$.
Lemma 4.13. If $D$ is a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on the proper normal variety $X$, and $E \geq 0$ with $\operatorname{Supp}(E) \subset$ $\operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D)\right)$, then

$$
N_{\sigma}(D+E)=N_{\sigma}(D)+E
$$

and

$$
H^{0}(X, D)=H^{0}(X, D+E)=H^{0}\left(X, P_{\sigma}(D)+E\right)=H^{0}\left(X, P_{\sigma}(D)\right)
$$

Proof. We argue just as in [Nak04, III.1.8 Lemma] and Nak04, III.1.9 Corollary]. When $X$ is not projective, we replace the ample $A$ from the proof of [Nak04, III.1.8 Lemma] by a divisor ample in codimension 1.

## 5. Divisorial augmented base locus

The augmented base locus of an $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a normal complex projective variety $X$ is defined in [ELM ${ }^{+} 06$, Definition 1.2] as $\mathbf{B}_{+}(D)=\bigcap_{D=A+E} \operatorname{Supp}(E)$, where $A$ is an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor and $E$ is an effective $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. For normal proper varieties, we mimic this construction by using divisors ample in codimension 1 . The resulting subset is a good analogue of the augmented base locus in codimension 1.

Definition 5.1. Let $D$ be a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on a normal proper variety $X$. The divisorial augmented base locus of $D$ is the divisorial component $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcap_{D=A+E} \operatorname{Supp}(E) \tag{5.1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the intersection being taken over all decompositions $D=A+E$ with $A$ an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, ample in codimension 1 , and $E$ an effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor.

The next lemma implies that if $X$ is projective then $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$ equals the divisorial part of $\mathbf{B}_{+}(D)$ and that we can also compute $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$ in terms of just one divisor that is ample in codimension 1 .

Lemma 5.2. Let $X$ be a normal proper variety. Let $D$ be a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor and let $A$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor that is ample in codimension 1 on $X$. Then $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$ is the divisorial component of the intersection of the supports of all $D^{\prime} \in|D-\epsilon A|_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $\epsilon>0$.
Proof. Let $U$ denote the intersection referred to in the statement of the lemma. Its index set is a subset of the one in (5.1.1), therefore $U \supseteq \mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$. Let now $\Gamma$ be a prime divisor which is a component of the supports of all $D^{\prime} \in|D-\epsilon A|_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$. Let $D=A^{\prime}+E$ with $A^{\prime}$ ample in codimension 1 and $E \geq 0$. By Lemma 4.9 $i$, for all sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ there exists $B_{\epsilon} \sim_{\mathbb{R}} \epsilon A$ such that $A^{\prime}-B_{\epsilon} \geq 0$ and $\Gamma \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(A^{\prime}-B_{\epsilon}\right)$. Then

$$
|D-\epsilon A| \ni D-B_{\epsilon}=\left(A^{\prime}-B_{\epsilon}\right)+E .
$$

Consequently $\Gamma$ is a component of $\operatorname{Supp}(E)$.
The relationship between $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$ and the Zariski decomposition is given by the following.
Lemma 5.3. Let $X$ be a normal proper variety. Let $D$ be a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor and let $A$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor which is ample in codimension 1 on $X$. Then

$$
\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\mathrm{div}}(D)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right)
$$

for all sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$.
Proof. Note that since $\operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right)$ is a closed set, for any sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ the sets $\operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right)$ all coincide. Thus we may show that $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D)$ coincides with the intersection over all sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ of the sets $\operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right)$.

By Theorem 3.5 iii), we see that $D-\epsilon A$ is big for sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$. Let $\Gamma$ be a prime divisor on $X$. Assume $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D-\epsilon A)=0$. Lemma 4.9 iii) shows that $\Gamma \not \subset \operatorname{Supp}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ for some $D^{\prime} \in\left|D-\frac{\epsilon}{2} A\right|_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore $\mathbf{B}_{+}^{\text {div }}(D) \subseteq \cap_{\epsilon>0} \operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D-\epsilon A)\right)$. The reverse inclusion is straightforward from the previous lemma and the definition of $\sigma_{\Gamma}(D-\epsilon A)$.
Remark 5.4. Inspired by [ELM ${ }^{+} 06$, Lemma 1.14] we define the divisorial restricted base locus as

$$
\mathbf{B}_{-}^{\mathrm{div}}(D):=\bigcup_{A} \mathbf{B}_{+}^{\mathrm{div}}(D+A),
$$

where $A$ ranges through all $\mathbb{R}$-divisors on $X$ that are ample in codimension 1. One can show that if the base field $K$ is uncountable and $D$ is a big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor, then $\mathbf{B}_{-}^{\text {div }}(D)=\operatorname{Supp}\left(N_{\sigma}(D)\right)$.
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