



### Sunspot Activity Dependence of Ionospheric Variability in the Low Latitude

### <sup>1</sup>IKUBANNI, Stephen O., <sup>2</sup>ADENIYI, Jacob O.

BSS 2016, ICTP, Trieste, Italy

<sup>1</sup>Landmark University, P.M.B. 1001, Omu- Aran Kwara State, Nigeria. <sup>2</sup>University of Ilorin, P.M.B. 1515, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.

E-mail: stevewolex1@yahoo.com

19th International Beacon Satellite Symposium (BSS 2016) @ ICTP, Trieste, Italy (27th June – 1st July)

### Outline

- Introduction
- Previous works
- Motivation
- Observations
- Conclusion

### Introduction

- Non-inclusion of variability data in empirical models is a source of error in long-term predictions.
- Day-to-day deviation of ionospheric parameters from the monthly average has been the theme of several scientific articles.
- Quantitative description of ionospheric variability is one of the priorities of Ionospheric Physics (Bilitza *et al.*, 2004, ASR).
- Ionospheric variability highest near the F2 peak (Oladipo et al., 2008).
- □ Variability at all sectors and latitudes of the EIA increases as solar control of the ionosphere diminishes (Bilitza *et al.,* 2004, Akala *et al.,* 2011)
  - Nighttime variability higher
  - Generally, variability increases with decreasing sunspot activity

# **Previous Works**

#### Variability at

- (a) Dakar (14.8°N, 17.4°W, dip 11.4°N)
- (b) Ouagadougou (12.4°N, 1.5°W, dip 2.8°N)
- (c) Djibouti (11.5°N, 42.8°E, dip 7.2°N)

HSA – 1978 (Rz = 93) (Max. of SC21) LSA – 1973 (Rz = 38) (Descending phase SC21)

Akala et al. (2010) (Figure4), ASR



BSS2016 - ICTP - Trieste -Italy

Variability at

(a) Manila (14.7°N, 121.1°E, dip 14.7°N )
(b) Okinawa (26.3°N, 127.8°E, dip 36.8°N )

(c) Vanimo (2.7°S, 141.3°E, dip 22.5°S) Asian sectors.

```
HSA – 1989 (Rz= 158) (Max. of SC22)
MSA – 1983 (Rz = 67)
(Descending phase SC21)
LSA – 1986 (Rz = 13)
```

Akala et al. (2010) (Figure 8), JGR



BSS2016 - ICTP - Trieste - Italy

July 1, 2016

5



6



BSS2016 - ICTP - Trieste - Italy

- F2-layer variability largely attributable to geomagnetic activity and complimented by meteorological sources from the lower levels (Rishbeth and Mendillo, 2001, JASTP)
- Differences in diurnal foF2 variation during the ascending and descending phases due to different sources of geomagnetic disturbance (Ouattara and Amory-Mazaudier, 2012, JASTP)

 Mean Ap increases with F10.7, descending phases of solar cycles more active than the ascending phases (Cliver et al., 1996, JGR; Rishbeth and Mendillo, 2001, JASTP)

### Motivation

To investigate the ascending/descending phase asymmetry of sunspot activity on F2-layer variability. ~ 25 % (Ap>20)



9

# Approach

 Ouagadougou Ionosonde (12.4°N,1.5°W, dip ~ 3°), [solar cycle 22]



#### Classification according to (Ouattara and Amory-Mazaudier 2012, SWSC)



Results

•J-season (J-sol) May, June, July, August

•D-season (D-sol) (November, December, January, February)

•Equinox (Equ) (March, April, September, October)



BSS2016 - ICTP - Trieste -Italy



# Conclusion

- Variability higher during daytime than nighttime in all the seasons and solar activity levels
- There is no consistent seasonal pattern in the variability
- Minimum variability consistently observed around 0700 LT irrespective of the season and solar activity phase
- Consistent with previous works, foF2 variability increases with decreasing sunspot number in the descending phase.
- The increasing foF2 variability with decreasing sunspot number may not be observable considering the year of MSA in the ascending phase.
- The asymmetry seems to be more pronounced in the D-season



















**R. Hanbaba,** Centre National d'Etudes des Telecommunications, Lannion, France



