Estimating *CkL* from Space Based – Synthetic Aperture Radar Images

David P. Belcher Paul S. Cannon Christopher M. Mannix





How synthetic radars work



- Returns gathered along the satellite track are coherently added to synthesise a very large aperture antenna.
- The azimuthal resolution is a function of the synthetic aperture length
- The range resolution is dependent on the signal bandwidth



Using a Corner Reflector

 $\bigcirc 0$







The Point Spread Function (PSF)

- Turbulence causes electron density irregularities, which in turn causes fluctuations in the phase (scintillation) of a radar signal passing through it.
- The phase scintillation raises the level of along track sidelobes, and if severe enough, causes defocusing.
- Generally its effect is to reduce the contrast.
- For an L-band SAR ionospheric effects are often observed, but complete defocusing is very rare.

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM



PSF (2)

- We define the PSF to be equal to the mainlobe plus the sidelobes η , which are zero if there are no ionospheric effects.
- The ensemble average sidelobe function (SLF) is given by

$$\langle \left| \eta \left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime} \right) \right|^{2} \rangle = T_{SLF} \left(\sqrt{r_{0}^{2} + (|\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}| + 1)^{2}} \right)^{-p}$$

 T_{SLF} is the turbulence of the sidelobes r' is the along track resolution cell p is the ionospheric phase screen spectral index.

 $r_0 = L_{SA}/\gamma l_0$ is the ratio of the synthetic aperture length L_{SA} to the ionospheric outer scale size l_0 scaled by γ , the velocity ratio of the satellite to the effective velocity of the ray-path in the phase screen



An ionospherically disturbed PSF



$$T_{SLF} = \frac{4\gamma\kappa_c^{1-p}G\sec\theta (r_e\lambda_0)^2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(p/2)}{(2\pi)^2\Gamma((p+1)/2)\kappa_{1km}^{-1-p}}C_kL$$



Comparison with GPS measurements





Using clutter measurements

 $\bigcirc 0$



Correlated K-distributed clutter

- Radar clutter can often be described by:
 - the product of the underlying radar cross section (which is generally correlated over a few resolution cells)
 - with uncorrelated complex Gaussian speckle.
- Assume the underlying cross section is gamma-distributed in intensity then:
 - the combined image statistics are K-distributed in intensity.
- The K-distribution has just two parameters, the mean μ and order parameter ν

UNIVERSITY^{OF} BIRMINGHAM



Two competing effects

- The raised sidelobes add random complex Gaussian noise to the image. Thus the speckle increases.
- Recall that the ionospheric component of the sidelobe variance can be expressed as the integral of the sidelobe function.

$$\sigma_{SLF}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left|\eta(\mathbf{r}')\right|^2 dr'$$

- Then the disturbed ionopshere increases the speckle variance in the ratio 1 to $1 + \sigma_{SLF}^2$.
- At the same time, the variation in the underlying cross section is smoothed out by the PSF.
- These gamma distributed points are correlated over l_r resolution cells by an amount that depends on the sidelobe shape. The ionospherically disturbed order parameter v_d is therefore given by

 $\nu_d = \nu (1 + \sigma_{SLF}^2 / l_r)$



Comparison with CR technique





Summary and Conclusions

- Believe that discrepancies between CR and GPS estimates are largely due to differences in geometry. A new experiment will hopefully test this.
- The agreement between the CR and clutter techniques is excellent.
- The clutter technique can be used to map turbulence within the swath and with a resolution along track of ~60km and across track of ~200m or better.



Section Header

 $\bigcirc 0$



•
$$\nu = \left[\frac{\langle I \log I \rangle}{\langle I \rangle} - \langle \log I \rangle - 1\right]^{-1}$$

